CEIS Tor Vergata Research Papers

Università di Roma Tor Vergata

ISSN 2610-931X

CEIS Tor Vergata

RESEARCH PAPER SERIES

Vol. 20, Issue 7, No. 549 – December 2022

Traumatic Experiences Adversely Affect Life Cycle Labor Market Outcomes of the Next Generation - Evidence from WWII Nazi Raids

Vincenzo Atella, Edoardo Di Porto,
Joanna Kopinska, Maarten Lindeboom

Traumatic experiences adversely affect life cycle labor market outcomes of the next generation - Evidence from WWII Nazi raids. *

Vincenzo Atella † Edoardo Di Porto‡

Joanna Kopinska§ Maarten Lindeboom¶

December 14, 2022

Abstract

This paper examines the causal effect of a traumatic event experienced by pregnant women on the life-long labor market outcomes of their offspring. We exploit a unique natural experiment that involved randomly placed Nazi raids in municipalities in Italy during WWII. We link administrative data on male private sector workers to information about Nazi raids and war casualties. Our results suggest that prenatal exposure to traumatic events affect offspring earnings throughout the working career and in retirement. The lower earnings are due to lower educational attainment, the type of jobs held and interruptions in working careers due to unemployment. We further find that prenatal exposure exacerbates the adverse effects of later life job loss on earnings. We use a medical database on health expenditures to interpret the effect estimates. The prenatally exposed have higher medical expenditures on diseases of the nervous system and mental disorders, indicating that stress is likely to be an important factor driving our findings.

JEL Codes: J24, I15

Keywords: WWII; Violent raids; Prenatal exposure; Offspring; Life-cycle earnings; Mass layoff.

*Corresponding author: Maarten Lindeboom *m.lindeboom@vu.nl*.

†Dept. of Economics and Finance - University of Rome Tor Vergata; e-mail: *atella@uniroma2.it*.

‡Federico II University of Napoli; e-mail: *edoardo.diporto@unina.it*.

§Sapienza University of Rome, CEIS University of Rome Tor Vergata: e-mail:*joanna.kopinska@uniroma1.it*.

¶Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam; Centre for Health Economics, Monash University; Tinbergen Institute; IZA; e-mail: *m.lindeboom@vu.nl*. Lindeboom acknowledges funding received from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant Agreement No. 733206 LifeCycle). Part of this paper was performed during Lindeboom's stay at the Royal Netherlands Institute in Rome. A previous version of this paper circulated under the title "Maternal Stress and Offspring Life-long Labor outcomes". We gratefully acknowledge the valuable comments by Agar Bruggiavini; Andrew Clark; Janet Currie; Mariacristina De Nardi; Zichen Deng; Anne Gielen; David Johnston; Andrew Jones; Fabrizio Mazzonna; David Slusky; Paolo Sestito; Jonathan Skinner; Joachim Winter; Anke Witteveen; Esmee Zwiers and participants at the Dondena Workshop, Bocconi, December 2019; Workshop on applied health and risk economics, Venice, February 2020; The Children's Health, Well-Being, and Human Capital Formation workshop, Barcelona, June 2020; Visit INPS yearly conference, July 2020; the 2020 NBER summer school; the Max-planck/NBER wrkshop on Ageing and Health, Munich, June 2022; the DGGO Health Economics Workshop, Tilburg, June 2022 and seminars at Monash University, Australia; The University of Melbourne, Australia; Johannes Keppler University, Linz, Austria; Lancaster University, UK; Mannheim University, Germany; Nova Lisbon, Portugal.

1 Introduction

Recent estimates suggest that, on average, between 50 and 85% of the developed country population experience a potentially traumatic life event (PTE) during their lifetime, such as being involved in a severe accident, losing a partner, being a victim of a crime or witnessing acts of extreme violence or terror (Benjet et al., 2016; de Vries and Olff, 2009; Kessler and Wang, 2008). PTEs pose a significant threat to a person's physical and/or psychological well-being, but adverse effects may also extend to the next generation. Previous work (Almond and Currie, 2012; Black et al., 2016; Kuzawa and Quinn, 2009; Persson and Rossin-Slater, 2018) has demonstrated that a mother's exposure to traumatic experiences during pregnancy may compromise the health and human capital of her children. Until now, we had minimal knowledge about the effect of traumatic and stressful experiences on the life cycle labor outcomes of the next generation. With this paper, we aim to fill this gap. Specifically, this paper studies: *i)* how a traumatic event experienced by a pregnant mother shapes the evolution of the working career of her offspring from the start of the career to retirement; and *ii)* how the prenatally exposed respond to job loss later in life.

To this end, we exploit plausibly exogenous events in Italy that followed after the "Armistice" in WWII on September 8^{th}, 1943, when Italy ceased hostilities against the allied forces. In response to this act, the Germans occupied the country and performed violent raids to spread fear and terror. The raids were intentionally unpredictable and idiosyncratically distributed in time and space. Although there were relatively few casualties and the raids lasted only a few days, they were characterized by intense violence that caused great stress and trauma to those who witnessed it.

This unique quasi-experimental setting allows us to analyze differences in outcomes for prenatally exposed cohorts to otherwise similar cohorts which were never exposed or exposed after birth. We exploit the unexpected outbreak of the raids and the spatial (municipalities) and temporal (months) variation after controlling for the intensity of the war and local time-invariant and time-varying characteristics. We thus identify the average causal effect of prenatal exposure to a PTE *over and above* other adversities related to war.

We build a unique dataset combining three primary data sources. The "Atlas of Nazi and Fascist massacres" lists all raids occurred in Italy after September 8, 1943. We link this dataset to an administrative individual employer-employee matched dataset from "Istituto Nazionale della Previdenza Sociale" (INPS) that covers the universe of Italian private sector workers between 1974 and 2018. We focus on male cohorts born around September 8, 1943. The data contain detailed working histories and information on wage earnings, occupation, employment status, educational attainment, pensions, and disability and unemployment benefits. The third source of information is the archive of WWII conflicts in Italy from Statistics Italy (ISTAT, 1957). This dataset includes granular information on the number of victims of armed conflicts between Allied Forces and Germans by province and month.

Results of generalized Difference-in-Differences (DiD) models show that prenatal exposure to a PTE reduces earnings by about 2% at the start of the labor market career. The earnings penalty gradually increases along the career to about 6% at retirement. Moreover, we find that the lower earnings can be attributed to lower educational attainment and occupation sorting. Those prenatally exposed to a Nazi raid (hereafter often referred to as the "exposed" or "prenatally exposed") are more likely to sort into low-skilled blue-collar jobs. We also find that the exposed are more likely to experience unemployment in their career, causing the earnings penalty to increase as people age. It could also be that the earnings penalty increases because the exposed suffer more from job loss later in life. To shed light on this hypothesis, we exploit the matched worker-firm information in the INPS data to examine the effect of job loss due to a mass layoff at the firm.[1] Estimates of a triple DiD model show that later life job loss dis-proportionally affects the exposed: job loss impacts next year's earnings by 31-34% for all workers and up to 47% for the exposed. Moreover, and in line with Sullivan and von Wachter (2009), we find that mass layoff episodes are likely to increase mortality, but this effect is independent of in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid.

While the effects of prenatal exposure to a Nazi raid are substantial, no effects are found for exposure in the first and the second year of life. This is important as the raids may have caused a lasting psychological trauma (PTSD), affecting parental health (behaviors) and parenting skills. Also, the raids may have led to property destruction and persistent income losses. Should these alternative mechanisms be relevant, they would harm both the prenatally exposed and those exposed

[1]Mass layoffs are plausibly more exogenous than regular job separations. In section 5.2 we show that the allocation of mass layoffs across the workers in our sample is independent of prenatal exposure to a Nazi raid.

shortly after birth. Thus, our results are driven by in-utero exposure to a raid and not by behavioral and/or income effects post-birth.

A series of robustness checks confirm our results. We use more flexible specifications, vary the definition of our outcome variables, use different samples, use a different identification strategy and perform falsification tests. Additionally, we show that selective fertility, mobility, and mortality do not bias our estimates.

As a further step in our analysis, we argue that maternal stress triggered by the traumatic event is likely to be the most important mechanism driving our empirical findings. Several medical studies show that the mother's hormonal response to anxiety and stress increases maternal Glucocorticoids (such as Cortisol) and that this may have a profound impact on the neurological development of the fetus, leading to cognitive, emotional, and mental problems as well as increased stress vulnerability of the offspring (see Boersma and Tamashiro, 2014; Cotter and Pariante, 2002; Marmot et al., 1991; Van den Bergh et al., 2005; Weinstock, 2005, and the literature reviewed in these papers). Also, recent economic literature has supported these claims (Black et al., 2016; Persson and Rossin-Slater, 2018; Quintana-Domeque and Rodenas-Serrano, 2017), showing causal effects of maternal stress during pregnancy on the birth outcomes, educational attainment, and mental health of offspring. Aizer et al. (2016) measure actual maternal Cortisol levels. They use a sibling fixed effects estimator and find that elevated Cortisol levels adversely affect cognition, education, and behavioral and motor development in childhood.

In support of our interpretation and in line with the literature cited above, we find lower educational attainment among the exposed. A mediation analysis shows that a large share (42%) of the total treatment effect on wage earnings can be explained by the impact of the treatment on educational attainment. Additionally, we use a medical database on health expenditures and find that prenatal exposure to a Nazi raid has significant and sizeable effects on health expenditures only for diseases of the nervous system and mental disorders, conditions typically associated with prenatal stress exposure. We also show that the effects of a Nazi raid do not include effects of hunger, changes in maternal health, maternal health behaviors, and reduced family income. It must be noted that other mechanisms can never be ruled out, even if one has information on stress hormone (Cortisol) levels.

We turn to the interpretation of our findings in Section 7.

Our study concerns a historical event that could be described as extreme and rare. Unfortunately, extreme violence against civilians in wars and civil conflicts are still very relevant today. According to UNHCR, by the end of 2021, propelled by new waves of conflict and violence the number of displaced people worldwide rose to 90 million. In 2022, the war in Ukraine has displaced 8 million within the country and forced around 6 million to leave the nation. Our study shows that such traumatic experiences of pregnant women scar the next generation's educational and labor outcomes. Besides, as Akbulut-Yuksel et al. (2022) show, the trauma, fear and anguish children are experiencing are likely to place an enormous strain on their mental health throughout their life. Traumatic experiences are not limited to war situations, but also hold for deprived neighborhoods, where crime, unemployment and poverty rates are high. Such adversities affect families with poor qualifications and limited resources most. Therefore, traumatic and stressful events may play an important role in the persistence of low socio-economic status across generations (Aizer et al., 2016).

Our paper relates to earlier work, but there are some crucial differences. First, quite a few studies examine long-term effects of WWII and associated disruptions on later life outcomes. Akbulut-Yuksel (2014) exploits region by cohort variation in the intensity of WWII destruction and finds that exposure to destruction has long-lasting detrimental effects on human capital formation, health, and labor outcomes of Germans who were at school-age during WWII. Likewise Akbulut-Yuksel (2017), Akbulut-Yuksel et al. (2022) find that those exposed to WWII destruction during the prenatal and early postnatal periods have higher BMIs, are more likely to be obese as adults, and have worse mental health. Kesternich et al. (2014) use recall information from the Survey of Health and Retirement in Europe (SHARE) and find that WWII experiences of dispossession, persecution, combat in local areas, and hunger significantly affects economic and health outcomes at older ages.

In our study, we examine the effect of a very local and precisely measured intervention (i.e., the raid) while at the same time carefully controlling for region by time variation in the intensity of the war. The raids mainly lasted one day, were unexpected, and varied widely across municipalities and time. As we focus on smaller municipalities, no one could escape witnessing the horrors of executions, physical abuse against harmless civilians, and destruction. In this way, we more precisely measure the causal effect of the event rather than an intention-to-treat effect what most other war studies do. Moreover, interpretation of effect estimates is facilitated as we measure the effect of a PTE over and above the general war effects. We also use a medical database on disease expenditures and alternative specifications to rule out some other potential explanations.

Second, most previous studies that looked at PTEs primarily looked at infant and childhood education and health outcomes (e.g. Bundervoet and Fransen, 2018; Camacho, 2008; Quintana-Domeque and Rodenas-Serrano, 2017). To the best of our knowledge, only two other studies looked at the causal effect of in-utero exposure to a PTE on adult outcomes (Black et al., 2016; Persson and Rossin-Slater, 2018). These studies examine the effect of the death of a relative of pregnant mothers.

Persson and Rossin-Slater (2018) find that the offspring of mothers who experience a family rupture while pregnant, as opposed to mothers who undergo it shortly after giving birth, use more ADHD medications. For adults (aged 30), they find increases in the likelihood of consuming prescription drugs for anxiety and depression, evidence that corroborates our findings based on the medical database. Black et al. (2016) compare children born to the same mother who experienced a parental death during one of the pregnancies. They found that parental death experienced in utero leads to negative effects on birth outcomes, but not on labor supply and earnings in 2010 when the individuals were between 25 and 43 years old.

Our analyses complement the findings of both studies. We show that the effects of prenatal exposure to a traumatic event experienced by the mother persist over the offspring's working career. Our outcomes include wage earnings from age 30 to age 60 and income after retirement. We examine the effect of exposure on educational attainment and selection into the type of job as possible mediators for the earnings penalty. We also study the heterogeneous impact on wage earnings of a further shock later in life. Jointly our findings indicate that early life traumatic experiences set in motion a chain of education and labor outcomes across the life cycle that ultimately lead to increased earnings penalties with age and lower pension benefits in retirement.

Third, our mass layoff analyses supplement the existing economic literature showing that workers displaced in a mass layoff experience significant long-term earnings losses, job instability, lower employment rates, and earlier retirement (see Chan and Stevens, 2001; Ruhm, 1991, and the literature cited in these papers). On the health side, Sullivan and von Wachter (2009) find substantial increases in mortality rates for male workers that persist up to 20 years after job displacement. Browning and Heinesen (2012) find for males effects on overall mortality and mortality caused by circulatory disease, suicide, and traffic accidents, and effects on alcohol-related diseases and mental illness. Recently, Kaila et al. (2022) show that workers born to less-well-off parents have lower earnings and higher unemployment than similar workers born to wealthier parents. We add to this literature by showing that the earnings penalty of job loss depends on prenatal conditions.

Our job displacement analyses also speak to a small but growing literature that empirically addresses the issue of dynamic complementarities (see, for example, Almond and Mazumder, 2013; Malamud et al., 2016). Dynamic complementarities, as defined by Cunha and Heckman (2007), refer to the idea that human capital investments later in life are more productive when the initial stock of skills is higher. In our context, an adverse shock early in life may exacerbate the adversity of later life shocks. Indeed, this is what we find. Maternal exposure to a traumatic event impairs the offspring's cognitive and/or stress management skills, leaving them more vulnerable to stressful and challenging events later in life.

2 Historical background

This section summarizes the historical events that occurred in Italy around September 8^{th} 1943, the date of the so called *Armistice*, and briefly describes the local living conditions of the population during WWII.

Despite the start of WWII in September 1939, Italy was a non-belligerent country until June 1940, when Mussolini declared war on Britain and France. From June 1940 until the end of the summer of 1943, Italy moved its troops mostly outside the national territory, which was only modestly affected by war events. This period was marked by relatively few casualties, concentrated around strategic bombing targets, such as harbors, industrial sites, and key railways (Baldoli and Knapp, 2012; Baldoli et al., 2011).

The Armistice ceased hostilities between the Kingdom of Italy and the Allies and marked the beginning of German occupation and Italian resistance against fascism. The act was secretly signed on September 3rd 1943, but was made public on the radio on September 8th at 18:30 Italian time.[2] The Italian campaign changed radically after the Armistice. In the days following the Armistice Italian servicemen were left without orders from their commands due to the German 'Wehrmacht' disrupting Italian radio communication. The dismantling of the Italian military was immediately evident, as was the absence of a clear military strategy. There is abundant historical evidence of contradictory orders coming from higher ranking officers.[3] The civilian population also had no information about the evolution of relations with Germany.

Figure 1: WWII fronts in Italy



Given the unforeseen circumstances in the first few days of September 1943, the events that

[2]The Armistice was secretly signed in Santa Teresa Longarini district of Syracuse, 3 km from Cassibile. The act was announced a bit earlier than 18:30 hrs on Radio Algiers with a declaration from General Dwight Eisenhower. Just one hour later, at 19:42, it was confirmed by a proclamation from Marshal Pietro Badoglio via the Italian public broadcasting network EIAR, (Zangrandi, 1974). After the signing of the act the Royal family and the prime minister fled from Rome on the morning of September 9th.

[3]For example, on the 10th of September 1943, in Piombino, a small German flotilla tried to enter the harbour of Piombino but was denied access by port authorities. Servicemen received two contrasting orders, one from the Italian coastal forces commanded by a former fascist "Gerarca" granting access, while the naval commander denied access to the port.

followed were very difficult to predict, ruling out strategic migration responses by civilians. According to Strazza (2010), frequently information about the arrival of military troops did not spread across neighboring villages. Moreover, there was no national evacuation plan (Baldoli et al., 2011). Moving across provinces was extremely difficult since railroads and main transportation networks had been destroyed by tactical bombings by the Allied forces (Baldoli and Knapp, 2012).

After 8th September 1943 Italy was exposed to two major types of adversities: *i)* general armed conflicts between the Allied and German forces that affected civilian life, and *ii)* Nazi (and fascist) violence aimed directly at the civilian population and the partisan (resistance) fighters. Regarding the general armed conflicts, the post-Armistice period was characterized by military battles, ranging from quick victories and front-line movements entailing relatively few casualties, to long stalemates associated with a sizeable number of fatalities. The underground resistance was not coordinated at the national level. Especially immediately after the Armistice, the nascent movement was formed of independent operating groups led by previously outlawed political parties or by former officers of the Royal Italian army. The first major act of resistance against the German occupation was in the city of Naples, liberated by a chaotic popular rebellion on September 28-30, 1943. Figure 1 provides a detailed map of the WWII events. The allied forces entered Italy in the South, moved north and then got stuck along the Winter line at Monte Cassino (just above Naples) in December 1943. The seven month stalemate that followed caused huge losses among civilians.[4]

The violent Nazi raids were intentionally unpredictable and randomly placed to disrupt civilian life and disseminate fear and terror (Portelli, 2003). The violence was generally aimed at the Italian population.[5] German terror acts included exploiting women and children, confiscating economic resources, rounding up civilians, and deporting them to labor camps. Although the raids involved relatively few casualties and lasted only a few days, they were characterized by intense violence which was witnessed by the civilian population causing fear and stress.

[4]The Winter (or Gustav) Line, though ultimately broken, effectively slowed the advance of the Allied forces for seven months between December 1943 and June 1944. Major battles in the assault on the Winter Line at Monte Cassino and Anzio alone resulted in 98,000 Allied casualties and 60,000 German and fascist casualties.

[5]Over time, when the front moved forward, the raids gradually involved more resistance fighters. See also Table B1 of Appendix B

3 Data

We construct an individual-level dataset combining several administrative sources. Firstly, we collect historical information on *i)* Nazi violence episodes and *ii)* the number of deaths and missing persons in Italy during WWII. We refer to this data as “War data”. Secondly, we use an administrative employer-employee matched dataset on the universe of Italian private sector workers. We refer to this data as “labor data”.

3.1 War data

3.1.1 Nazi violence data

The INSMLI (National Institute for the History of the Italian Liberation Movement) and the ANPI (National Association of Italian Partisans) created the "Atlas of Nazi and Fascist massacres", created a database from historical sources (documents, pictures, videos) on all violent episodes perpetrated against civilians by the German army and its fascists allies in Italy between 1943 and 1945.[6] The episodes include information on the first murders in the South to withdrawal massacres committed in the North, just after the Liberation (April 25th 1945).

The database provides information on the number and type of victims by age and gender and the precise timing (day) and place (municipality).[7] The database counts more than 5,800 episodes including 20,000 victims across 2,200 municipalities. Figure 2 depicts the evolution of casualties due to raids by region over time. For reasons that will become clear in Section 3.3, we focus on raids in the first nine months following the Armistice (September 1943–May 1944) performed in smaller municipalities (less than 200,000 residents). This restricts the sample to 1,603 episodes, lasting on average 1.4 days with an average of 3.27 victims per episode (see Table 1).[8] Figure A1 of Appendix A shows that in the nine months after the Armistice the raids covered all Italian regions north of the battlefront. Table B2 in Appendix B.1 reports the number of raids by month for the first nine months after the Armistice.

[6]This database is also used by Gagliarducci et al. (2020). Information about the project, the database and access possibilities is available at `http://www.straginaziFasciste.it/?page_id=9&lang=en`.

[7]This is the smallest administrative unit.

[8]The summary statistics of the Nazi raids over the entire period are reported in Table B1 of Appendix B.

Figure 2: Average number of Nazi raid victims per 100,000 residents by region



HERE GOES TABLE 1

3.1.2 General data on deaths and missing persons during WWII in Italy

We constructed from the archive publication "Morti e dispersi per cause belliche negli anni 1940–45" (The dead and the missing due to war causes between 1940–1945), of the Italian National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT, 1957) a dataset including the number of casualties of armed conflicts by province and month.[9] Figure 3 shows how casualties by region evolved over time, starting from the South, moving to the Center and finally to the North.

3.2 The labor data

The longitudinal employer-employee data are provided by the Istituto Nazionale della Previdenza Sociale (INPS), the national social security and welfare institute in Italy, one of the largest administrative

[9]The publication is available and accessible either at the ISTAT archives, or online at `http://lipari.istat.it/digibib/causedimorte/IST3413mortiedispersipercausebellicheanni1940_45+OCRottimizz.pdf`.

Figure 3: Average number of WWII victims per 100,000 residents by region



bodies at the European level.[10]

3.2.1 Matched employer-employee data

INPS provides individual employment histories of the universe of private sector workers (excluding agriculture) in Italy from 1974 to 2018. The data include employee demographics, occupation, contract type and earnings. Being a matched employer-employee database, it also includes information on all private sector employers. Private sector jobs amount to more than 70% of all jobs in Italy. Zero earnings in the INPS data can be due to employment in a non-private sector or exit from the labor market. This is a common feature of administrative employer-employee data.

Additionally, for all Italian residents, INPS collects information on municipality and date of birth, date of death and date of labor market entry. The data also include detailed information on pensions, Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Disability Insurance (DI) premiums and claims.

[10]More information about INPS can be obtained from https://www.inps.it/nuovoportaleinps/default.aspx?itemdir=47212

3.2.2 Pension and benefits data

The data on pensions are available from 1995 onward and include information on the age at which retirement benefits are collected, the type of pension, the number of years of contributions as well as the benefit amount. The UI and DI premiums and benefit information is available for all Italian workers, independent of the sector of work and thus give information about interruptions in the labor market career. This information also allows us to address the external validity of our estimates based on private sector workers. Specifically, we examine whether prenatal exposure to a raid is related to the sector of work. We find it is not. We refer to Section 6.6 for more detail.

3.2.3 Education data

After 2010, employers were obliged to disclose to INPS information about educational attainment for all contracts that opened, changed or closed. We observe educational attainment for 52% of the sample of workers who were still employed in 2010 (19,397 out of 37,471 workers, see below in Section 3.3). This subsample is representative of the full sample.[11]

3.3 Data selection

We link the Nazi raids data to the individual INPS data through the municipality, month, and year of birth. For the general WWII data, we link the records at the province, month and year of birth level. We make a number of sample restrictions to obtain the dataset used in the analyses. Figure 4 graphically describes this selection process.

We first select all employees who worked at any point between 1974 and 2018 and born between January 1943 and May 1945 (in total 1,012,211 individuals). We then restrict our sample to males, since female labor force participation was very low (less than 30%) among the cohorts considered (677,396 individuals).[12] We next restrict our sample to individuals born in a 9 month window surrounding the date of the Armistice (i.e. born between January 1943 and May 1944) (326,082

[11]We perform a regression analysis of being present in the education sample on being prenatally exposed to a Nazi raid, and find no evidence of a significant association. See Section 5.1 for details.

[12]Exposure to stress early in life may also affect fertility behavior, which in itself may affect the labor force participation decisions of females and make the results difficult to interpret.

Figure 4: INPS data selection



individuals). Assuming a nine month gestation period, this implies cohorts conceived between April 1942 and September 1943. Those born after September 8th were (potentially) prenatally exposed to a Nazi raid, while others (born in the nine months preceding September 8th) were not. See Figure 5 for a schematic representation.

Figure 5: Cohort selection and timing of events.



The short time window rules out selective fertility and limits the potential impact of other behavioral responses that may occur after the Armistice as time progresses (See also Section 6.4). Yet, it does not rule out mortality selection in utero (stillbirths) and between birth and 1974, when we observe our outcomes for the first time. We return to selection issues in Section 6.6). Another potentially confounding factor is that the nature of the war was different in large cities. Raids in large cities generally involved more victims and nearby areas were more likely to know about this, blurring the distinction between the treated and controls. Besides, some large cities experienced other adverse WWII conditions such as bombings and nutritional shortages.[13] These other hardships may confound our estimates and their interpretation. We therefore further restrict our sample to individuals born in municipalities with less than 200 thousand residents.[14]

This final selection reduces our sample to 283,975 males born in 7,507 municipalities. In this sample we have 1,603 Nazi raids and 22,194 (7.8%) individuals prenatally exposed to a Nazi raid.

3.4 Exposure variables

For each individual in the sample we determine the intra-uterine period by counting nine months backwards from the date of birth. In Section 6.4 we examine the robustness of our results to alternative gestation lengths.

We create an indicator for Nazi violence exposure, which equals 1 if the municipality of birth had at least one Nazi violence episode in the nine months preceding birth. Analogously we construct a measure for general war intensity, defined as the number of war victims in the birth province due to armed fights between Allied forces and the German troops in the nine months before birth. For ease of interpretation, we standardize this variable (mean zero and a standard deviation of one).

3.5 Outcome variables

We create two annual labor outcomes: log wage earnings and an indicator for manual unskilled labor (blue-collar jobs).[15] We define our outcomes at different ages a (a = 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55 and 60). To minimize the variance in earnings, we take for each a the average of the earnings at $(a-1)$, a and $(a+1)$. For the sub-sample of workers with information on educational attainment, we also construct indicator variables for less than secondary and less than tertiary education.

For each individual we construct binary indicators for mortality, unemployment and disability benefit receipt and variables for the age at retirement and pension benefit.[16]

[13]Generally bombing small municipalities was not part of the German war strategy (Portelli, 2003).

[14]We examine the sensitivity of our estimates to different cut-offs for the municipality size in section 6.4.

[15]The blue-collar indicator is derived from a hierarchical categorical variable that has 5 values: 1) Manual; 2) Skilled non-manual; 3) Professional; 4) Managerial; 5) Apprentice. Earnings are expressed in 2005 euros, adjusted for inflation using the CPI index.

[16]The cohorts in our study have defined benefit (DB) pensions. The pension benefit amount is a function of work experience, age when the benefit is claimed, and average earnings over the last working years.

We also examine income and mortality effects of job loss at later ages (Section 5.2). For these analyses we use the matched employer-employee data to identify episodes of job loss due to mass layoffs at the firm.[17] In line with the literature (Sullivan and von Wachter, 2009), we define mass layoff episodes in firms with more than 25 workers who reduce their total workforce by more than 30% in a given year.

3.6 First descriptive evidence based on the INPS data

Table 2 provides some relevant initial evidence. The table shows that earnings gradually increase with age, that about three quarters of the workers enter the labor market as blue-collar workers and that this share declines with age. Figures 6 and 7 depict this graphically. Interestingly, the fall in earnings at around age 55 coincides with an increase in the share of lower-skilled blue-collar workers. At age 55 retirement becomes more prominent. This suggests that higher earning and more skilled workers retire earlier. Table 2 also shows that 22% of the workers are beneficiaries of a disability benefit at some point during their working career, that at the end of our observation period about 82% of them are retired, and that the average age at retirement is about 58 years old.

HERE GOES TABLE 2

Table 3 provides descriptive evidence of prenatal exposure to a Nazi raid on selected outcomes. Columns 1 and 2 report the mean outcomes for individuals born in municipalities without any Nazi raid during our observation period, while columns 3 and 4 report the same information for individuals born in municipalities with a raid episode. The distinction between before and after is based on the date of the Armistice (September 8, 1943). Finally, column 5 provides simple difference-in-differences calculations of the variable means. The results in Column 5 confirm our priors (see Figures 7 and 6): exposure leads to lower earnings[18] and a higher likelihood of being in a blue-collar job. Column 5 also shows that exposed individuals are more likely to experience a disability and an unemployment spell during their working career. Interestingly, exposed individuals

[17]Job loss due to a mass layoff is arguably more exogenous than an individual contract termination. See Sullivan and von Wachter (2009) for a discussion.

[18]This is about 2% at age 30 and 6% at age 60)

Figure 6: Wage earnings by treatment status



Notes: The numbers refer to 283,975 men working in the private sector between 1974 and 2017, born between January 1943 and May 1944 in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents. The figure plots differences between individuals exposed in utero to a Nazi raid and those not exposed, controlling for municipality fixed effects. Annual earnings are expressed in 2005 euros.

Figure 7: Proportion of blue-collar workers by treatment status



Notes: The numbers refer to 283,975 men working in the private sector between 1974 and 2017, born between January 1943 and May 1944 in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents. The figure plots differences between individuals exposed in utero to a Nazi raid and those not exposed, controlling for municipality fixed effects.

are also found to retire later.

HERE GOES TABLE 3

4 Empirical model and identification

4.1 Relationships between variables of interest

Before introducing the empirical model, we present a directed acyclic graph (DAG) to structure thoughts and to highlight where we differ from previous studies. The nodes in the figure represent the variables, while the solid arrows represent causal relations between the variables. Nodes written in *italics* represent factors that we do not observe. The dashed arrows represent relations that could potentially confound our causal estimates. The DAG runs forward in time; it starts with in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid (N) that may affect Educational outcomes (EDU) and Labor Outcomes (LO) at later ages (LO age 30, LO age 35,. . . , LO age 60) and beyond (Retirement). There are direct and indirect effects that run between variables.

Figure 8: Research design and causal effects identification: a directed acyclic graph



First, we assume that there is a direct path from the Nazi raid exposure to Educational attainment and to labor market outcomes (occupation and wage earnings) at age 30 and beyond. These are the baseline effect we want to identify. Second, it is also likely that a portion of the baseline effect on labor market outcomes is mediated through Educational Attainment. We assess the relative importance of Educational attainment for wage earnings with a mediation analysis.

Essential for the causal interpretation of N on EDU and N on LO age 30, 35, etcetera is the assumption of random assignment of N. As discussed in Section 2, the raids were intentionally unpredictable and idiosyncratically placed across time and space. In the presentation of our empirical model (see below), we will discuss the plausibility of this random assignment assumption. Still, parents may selectively migrate (referred to by the dotted arrow from *Parent* to N). We address selection issues in Section 6.6. Unobserved parental characteristics (such as parenting skills and/or parental investment behavior) may also affect EDU (and therefore later life labor outcomes). This is referred to by the dotted arrow from *Parent* to EDU. Therefore the effect of N on EDU is the net effect of a biological effect and parental investment behavior (see also Section 5.2).

Black et al. (2016) study the effect of family ruptures on birth outcomes and labor supply and earnings at the time of the survey (2010). Likewise, Persson and Rossin-Slater (2018) examine the effect of family ruptures on birth outcomes, ADHD medication during childhood, and mental health at age 34-36. In our figure, these effects correspond to the direct effects of N on EDU and of N on LO age 30. We complement these findings by examining the evolution of labor outcomes from age 30 up to age 60 and retirement. Additionally, we assess the portion of the impact of N on LO, mediated through EDU.

As suggested in clinical studies, prenatal exposure to trauma may affect how individuals cope with further shocks later in life[19]. To examine this, we look at the effect of job separations during a working career on wage earnings (the arrow from job loss to LO at later ages). We use individual contract terminations due to mass layoffs, an event more likely to be exogenous from an individual point of view. We formally test whether prenatal exposure to a traumatic event is unrelated to the probability of working in a firm that experiences a mass layoff.

4.2 Specification of the empirical model

Our baseline specification is the following generalized Difference-in-Differences model (DiD):

[19]Medical studies show that the pregnant mother's hormonal response to anxiety and stress affects the stress coping phenotype of the offspring Boersma and Tamashiro (2014).

$$y^a_{imt} = \beta^a_0 + \beta^a_1 Nazi_{mt} + \beta^a_2 War_{pt} + \alpha^a_m + \gamma^a_t + \delta^a_{tr} + \epsilon^a_{imt}, \tag{1}$$

where y^a_{imt} represents the labor outcome for individual i, born in municipality m at time (month) t, measured at age a (for a=30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60). For notational convenience we suppress subscripts p for the municipality's province (103 in total) and r for the municipality's region (20 in total). $Nazi_{mt}$ is an indicator for whether an individual's municipality of birth had at least one episode of Nazi violence in the 9 months before birth. This variable picks up the direct effects of a raid. To control for general war effects we include War_{pt}, a standardized z-score of the number of war-related deaths in the province of birth p in the 9 months prior to the month of birth. General war effects aim to control for the adversities of war, including fear and stress resulting from the potential threat of a raid or bombings; nutritional shortages; disease spread; and sub-optimal functioning of health care systems. We also include municipality fixed effects (α_m), $year \times month$ fixed effects (γ_t), and region specific time trends (δ_{tr}). ϵ^a_{imt} is an idiosyncratic error term. In all our analyses we cluster standard errors at the municipality level.[20]

The coefficient β_1 is identified by comparing individuals exposed in utero to a Nazi raid to those exposed in the first year of life and those born in municipalities not exposed to a Nazi raid, while controlling for general war effects (War_{pt}). As such, β_1 measures the direct effect of a Nazi raid *over and above* general war effects. While it is plausible that the timing of the raids is unpredictable, one could argue that even after conditioning on municipality fixed effects the targeting of treated municipalities was not random. In Section 6 we test the conditional (on municipality fixed effects) random assignment of the raids in more detail and conclude that we cannot reject it.[21]

To address remaining concerns, we also use an alternative identification strategy that only relies on the weaker assumption of random variation in the exact timing of the raids (results reported in

[20]Spatial correlation may be relevant. One way of taking this into account is to cluster the standard errors at the province level. This hardly affects the standard errors.

[21]The Nazis may have targeted municipalities that colluded with the partisans. Historical studies (O'Reilly, 2001) document engagement of the partisans with the occupants, but this primarily occurred in big cities or around strategic points and, importantly, this occurred later in the war when the partisan movement became better organised. We nevertheless estimated the baseline model, excluding raids involving resistance fighters. We also formally test for conditional random assignment and conclude that this assumption cannot be rejected (See Appendix A for more detail).

Subsection 6.2). Effectively, we then compare the offspring of mothers exposed to a raid during pregnancy, as opposed to mothers exposed after giving birth. This identification strategy is the same as in Persson and Rossin-Slater (2018) who examine the effects of grief during pregnancy on offspring mental health.

Some other considerations are in order before we present our results. It could be argued that the effects of a raid are not limited to the affected municipalities, but also extend to neighboring control municipalities. If true, the control municipalities would be indirectly affected by the raid, which may lead to an underestimate of the effect of a raid. In Section 6 we provide the results of additional analyses where we examine the effect of a raid on neighboring control municipalities. Further, note that spillover effects are not relevant when we estimate our models on the sample of treated municipalities only. Both approaches suggest that spillovers are not important (see Subsection 6.2).

Further, a potentially traumatic event may give rise to a psychological trauma for the parent(s) that persist for a longer period (Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, PTSD).[22] PTSD may influence parental (health) behaviors and parenting skills when the child grows up (Akresh et al., 2012a; Christie et al., 2019). The systematic review of Christie et al. (2019) finds that PTSD is associated with impaired functioning across a number of parenting domains such as less optimal parent-child relationships and negative parenting practices. Further, the violent raids, led in some cases to property destruction and confiscation of economic resources, which in turn may have led to structural income losses.

Lasting effects of a Nazi raid can have important implications for the interpretation of the estimates β_1 in Equation 1. The estimate of β_1 will in this case include both a biological and a behavioral (PTSD)/income effect that may also affect the child after birth. Additionally, in the baseline specification (Equation 1) part of the reference group, namely those exposed to a Nazi raid post-birth, may also be affected by the PTSD/income effect, leading to a downward bias of the treatment effect. We therefore also estimate models that, in addition to the in-utero effect, allow for effects of a Nazi raid in the child's first and second year of life. Absence of statistically significant effects for the first and the second year of life suggests that the estimate of β_1 should be interpreted primarily as driven by a biological effect, rather than via income and/or altered parental behavior.

[22]Note, that only about 2% of individuals exposed to a potentially traumatic life event are diagnosed with PTSD (Benjet et al., 2016).

Note that the test for the first and the second year effects is equivalent to a test of the common trends assumption. The results of this model, presented in the robustness Section 6, show that only *prenatal* exposure to a Nazi raid has lasting negative effects on offspring labor market outcomes.

Section 6 also includes a range of additional robustness checks. Failure to adequately control for the general war effect may impact our treatment effect and its interpretation. We therefore also estimate more flexible models where we replace the control for general war effects (War_{pt}) and regional trends with flexible $province \times year \times month$ fixed effects. We also examine the sensitivity of our finding with respect to changes in the sample and the definition of the treatment variable. We further verify our identification assumptions and the statistical power by a falsification test. In Section 6.6 we also examine whether selection into the private sector, selective mobility and selective fertility and mortality may confound our findings. Lastly, in Section 7 we address the interpretation of our findings.

5 Results

Subsection 5.1 presents estimates of the baseline model (Equation 1) on earnings (in logarithms), blue-collar status, disability and unemployment benefit receipt, retirement age, pension benefits (in logarithms) and mortality over the period 1974 (aged 30–31) to 2018 (aged 74–75). In Section 5.2 we present the results of job loss at later ages on subsequent labor earnings and mortality.

5.1 Labor market outcomes across the life cycle

The results for wage earnings are presented in Table 4. At age 30 the prenatally exposed have an earnings penalty of about 2% and this effect is slightly smaller at ages 40 and 45, but it widens at later ages, to increase to 5.5% at age 60.[23] We also find significant negative general war effects (standardized z-score of WWII casualties) on earnings, but these are considerably smaller than the Nazi raid effects.

HERE GOES TABLE 4

The persistent differences in earnings for the exposed could be due to differences in the skill

[23]Note that this is remarkably similar to the results in Table 3

level and the type of jobs held. However, sorting into lower-skill jobs cannot alone explain the stark increase in the earnings penalty later in the working career. A possible reason for this pattern might be related to interrupted working careers, for instance, due to unemployment or disability. An alternative explanation is retirement timing. The male cohorts started retiring as early as age 55, and by age 60 only 22% were still at work. This retirement effect may be selective with respect to earnings and the type of job.

Table 5 shows the results for the type of job. The prenatally exposed are more likely to work in lower-skilled blue-collar jobs. As in Table 4 the strongest effects are found at age 60. This supports the idea that retiring prior to age 60 is more likely for white-collar workers (with on average higher earnings).

HERE GOES TABLE 5

To understand to what extent sorting into low-skilled blue-collar jobs is mediated by the accumulation of human capital before labor market entry, we use information about educational attainment. Information on educational attainment is available for workers whose employment contract changed after 2010 (Section 3.2.3). A contract change might be related to prenatal exposure to a Nazi raid. We, therefore, regressed being present in the education sample on in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid and other controls. Column 1 of Table 6 shows that there is no significant association between the two variables (t-statistic of 0.014). In columns 2 and 3 we show the results for the effect of prenatal exposure on educational attainment: the exposed have lower levels of education, suggesting that the traumatic experience of a Nazi raid affects cognition and education, which in turn may affect job skill levels and earnings. This is also in line with findings from the medical and economic literature (see for instance Aizer et al., 2016; Gitau et al., 2001).

HERE GOES TABLE 6

To further support this interpretation, we quantify the impact of education as a mediator for earnings at age 55.[24] For this we performed a mediation analysis as in Adhvaryu et al. (2019);

[24]At later ages the number of workers drops rapidly

Heckman et al. (2013); Huber (2014).[25] These results, reported in Table 7, show that 42% of the treatment effect on wage earnings can be explained by educational attainment and that having a tertiary education accounts for 36% of the total treatment effect.[26] This provides support for the assumed mechanism: the traumatic event has an important effect on education, which in turn affects later life wage earnings.

HERE GOES TABLE 7

In Table 8 we present the results for disability, the number of unemployment benefit claims before age 60, the age at retirement, pension benefits and mortality. Disability and mortality are defined as ever having received a disability insurance benefit and dying before age 60. Pension benefit is defined as the logarithm of the first monthly pension benefit at retirement.

HERE GOES TABLE 8

The results in Table 8 show a small and insignificant effect for disability insurance receipt, but a sizeable and marginally significant effect for the number of unemployment claims prior to age 60. This last result suggests that at least part of the earnings penalty at age 60 may be due to interruptions in their careers. There is no differential effect with respect to retirement timing, but we do find that the exposed have lower pension benefits. Pension benefits are related to average earnings in the years prior to retirement. It therefore seems that the earnings penalty extends to old age. Finally, Table 8 shows that there is no differential mortality according to treatment status.

5.2 What if lightening strikes twice?[27]

The evidence presented above shows that the earnings gap increases with age and suggests that work interruptions due to unemployment may play a role. It could also be that the exposed suffer more from job loss later in life. What are the effects on earnings of a second shock (job loss) for the

[25]This involves estimating two sets of weighted regressions, one for the degree to which the impact of a Nazi raid varies by educational attainment and a second for the effect of the Nazi raid on education attainment levels. The inverse probability weights are derived from a regression of the Nazi raids on educational attainment.

[26]The quantitative importance of education for wage earnings can be due to the Italian national bargaining system, where educational attainment almost exclusively determines the level of job qualifications in labor contracts.

[27]Sub-section title adapted from Almond et al. (2018), Section 5.

prenatally exposed? Or, what if "lightning strikes twice"? Cunha and Heckman (2007) and Almond et al. (2018) argue that dynamic complementarities may be important. Dynamic complementarities, refer to the idea that investments made in later periods are more productive when the baseline stock of skills is higher. Conversely, a negative shock early in life may amplify the effects of a negative shock later in life. In our context this may imply that the negative consequences of job loss later in life may be stronger for those prenatally exposed to a raid.

To address the sensitivity of later life shocks, following an adverse shock early in life, we use information on mass layoffs available in the linked worker-firm INPS data. Specifically, we examine whether the effect of job loss due to a mass layoff on subsequent earnings and mortality is different for the prenatally exposed. Contract terminations resulting from mass layoffs are convenient in this setting, as this type of job separations is more likely to be exogenous from an individual point of view. We also formally test this assumption and find that there are no effects of prenatal exposure (or general WWII effects) on the probability of working in a firm that experiences a mass layoff. See Table B3 of Appendix B.2 for the results.[28]

The literature on the effects of job loss due to mass layoffs shows that displaced workers tend to experience significant long-term earning losses (Jacobson et al., 1993; Ruhm, 1991), lower employment rates (Chan and Stevens, 2001), strong increases in mortality for male workers, persisting up to 20 years after job displacement (Sullivan and von Wachter, 2009) and higher suicide rates and hospitalization due to traffic accidents, alcohol-related diseases, and mental illness (Browning and Heinesen, 2012).

We use the mass layoff definition of Sullivan and von Wachter (2009): a reduction of at least 30% in employment between period $t-1$ and t in a firm with more than 25 workers. These mass layoff events are matched with individual contract terminations between 1983 (age 40) and 2004 (age 61).

To assess the causal effect of job loss due to mass layoff (LO) we specify the following triple difference-in-differences model:

$$y^a_{imt} = \beta^a_0 + \beta^a_1 Nazi_{mt} + \beta^a_2 LO^a_{it} + \beta^a_3 LO^a_{it} * Nazi_{mt} + \beta^a_4 * War_{pt} + \alpha^a_m + \gamma^a_t + \delta^a_{tr} + \epsilon^a_{imt}, \quad (2)$$

[28] This also holds for blue-collar status (results available upon request).

where LO_{it}^{a} is an indicator that equals 1 if an individual i, born at time t loses his job due to a mass layoff at age $a-1$. As in Equation 1, β_1^a measures the average effect of being exposed prenatally to a Nazi raid. The parameter β_2^a is the effect of a layoff, the estimate usually reported in the existing literature on mass layoffs (Jacobson et al., 1993; Ruhm, 1991; Sullivan and von Wachter, 2009). The triple difference-in-differences parameter β_3^a measures the *additional* effect of a job loss due to a mass layoff for the prenatally exposed. So the sum β_1^a and β_3^a is the *total* effect of a layoff for the prenatally exposed. When our outcome is earnings, we measure the effect on the next year's earnings. For mortality, we look at the effect on the probability of dying within the next 10 years.

Table 9 presents the estimates for next year earnings for workers aged 45 to 60. The effect of prenatal exposure to a Nazi raid (β_1^a in the first row) is very similar to the general treatment effect presented in Table 4, hinting that the assignment of the mass layoff is not associated with prenatal exposure to a Nazi raid. The estimates of β_2^a indicate that a layoff results in an immediate earnings loss of about 31–34%. This estimate is in line with the evidence of Couch and Placzek (2010), who find for the US earnings penalties of about 32–33%. Jacobson et al. (1993) find immediate losses of more than 40%. Importantly, the estimates of β_3^a in Table 9 show that the prenatally exposed suffer an additional earnings penalty at ages 45, 50 and 55 of about 8%, 10%, and 15%, respectively.[29] Hence, an average worker faces an immediate earnings loss after job loss (due to a mass layoff) of about 31–33%, which can increase to more than 47% for those prenatally exposed to a Nazi raid.

HERE GOES TABLE 9

Regarding the mortality effects, Table 10) shows that a job loss increases mortality in the next 10 years. However, we find no evidence of additional effects for the prenatally exposed.

HERE GOES TABLE 10

Our findings on the interaction between prenatal exposure to a traumatic event and another exogenous shock later in life suggest that dynamic complementarities may be important (Almond et al., 2018; Cunha and Heckman, 2007). However, formally testing for dynamic complementarities is difficult. As argued by (Almond and Mazumder, 2013), causal inference on dynamic complementarities

[29]Note that the effect at age 55 rather than at age 60 is most relevant for the large earnings penalty at age 60 in Table 4.

requires “lightning to strike twice”, i.e. it requires exogenous variation in the baseline stock as well as in the second shock later in life. Such settings are rare in practice, but our empirical design and the extremely long follow up of worker-firm matches potentially allows us to address this issue.

However, exogeneity of the two shocks is a necessary, but not sufficient condition (Malamud et al., 2016), as parents may respond with investments in their children to counter the adverse effects of a bad start. Specifically, following Almond et al. (2018); Yi et al. (2015), the total effect of a prenatal shock (e) on the child's human capital at adult ages θ can be decomposed into two parts:

$$\underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}e}}_{A} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial e}}_{B} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial I}}_{C} \times \underbrace{\frac{\partial I}{\partial e}}_{D} . \tag{3}$$

The term (A) on the left-hand side of (3) is the reduced form effect of the early-life shock and corresponds to the usual reduced form estimate in the empirical literature on long-run effects of early life shocks. The first term on the right-hand side (B) is the biological effect directly operating through the human capital production function. The second term ($C \times D$) is the behavioral effect from the parental investment response, where (C) is the productivity effect of the investment (the marginal efficiency of investment) and D the resource allocation effect.[30] When parents make compensating investments to counter the adversities of the initial shock, the term ($C \times D$) will be negative, which will bias the effect of the second shock (layoff) towards zero. With reinforcing investments, parents may decide to invest resources to other, better-endowed, child in the family, or even to reduce spending on the disadvantaged child. In this case $C \times D \geq 0$, which will lead to an upward bias of the effect of the second shock. Unfortunately, we do not have information on parental investment decisions and therefore cannot formally check this. However, the negative and significant effect of the triple difference-in-differences parameter β_3^a indicates that parents do not make fully compensatory investments in their children.

Taken together, the results in this section indicate that a traumatic experience of a pregnant mother leads to lower earnings for her offspring. This effect increases with age and ultimately leads to lower pensions. These negative outcomes are due to worse educational outcomes, lower-skilled jobs and

[30]Note that the resource allocation effect D may be affected by the effect of the initial shock on the parents

interruptions in the working career. Finally, those exposed prenatally to an adverse shock face higher earnings penalties after job loss later in life, suggesting that dynamic complementarities may be important.

6 Robustness checks, falsification tests and selection effects

This section starts with estimates from an alternative model to tests for the relevance of trauma related changes in parental behavior and parenting skills (Subsection 6.1). In Subsection 6.2 we examine the assumption of conditional random assignment and Subsection 6.3 tests for spillover effects. We next perform several robustness checks (Subsection 6.4). The results of these analyses are summarized in Panels B-G of Tables 11 (earnings), 12 (blue-collar status) and 13 (mass layoffs). The baseline results are repeated in Panel A. The tables only report the estimates of β_1 and β_3. The full tables can be found in the online Appendix D. In Section B.4 we report the results of a falsification tests. Section 6.6 addresses issues of selective fertility, mortality and mobility.

6.1 Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and the Common trend assumption

As discussed in Section 4, witnessing violence may lead to lasting psychological trauma for the parent(s) (PTSD), which in turn may influence parental (health) behavior and parenting skills (Akresh et al., 2012a,b). Furthermore, property destruction and income loss following a raid may also have longer-term consequences. In the presence of such effects the estimate of in-utero exposure in Equation 1 includes a biological and a behavioral (PTSD) and/or income effect. We examine this by augmenting the specification in Equation 1 with separate effects for exposure in the first and the second year of life. For this purpose we extend the analysis period to the left, i.e., the time window is [-24,9] around September 8^{th}, 1943. This alternative specification is equivalent to a test on the common trend assumption.

The results of these analyses are reported in Panel B of Tables 11, 12, and 13. We see in the top row of Panel B that the coefficients of interest are hardly affected and that the parameter estimates are slightly larger and more precise when we extend the time window to the left. Importantly, the two following rows for the first and the second year effects show small and insignificant coefficients. This indicates that the common trends assumption is satisfied[31] and that it is primarily prenatal exposure and not reduced income and/or altered parental and parenting behavior post-birth that drives our findings.

6.2 Conditional random assignment assumption / a different identification strategy

It was argued in Section 2 that the nazi raids were idiosyncratically disteibuted in time and space. While the exact timing of the raids was hard to predict, one could argue that the assumption of conditional random assignment across municipalities may not hold. To shed more light on this, we performed analyses where we excluded raids that involved resistance fighters among the casualties. This did not affect our estimates (results available upon request). In Appendix A we more formally conduct a test for the assumption of conditional random assignment and find that this assumption cannot be rejected. Finally, we estimate Equation 1 on the sub-sample of treated municipalities only. In this case, identification relies solely on the weaker assumption of randomness in the exact timing of the treatment (Persson and Rossin-Slater, 2018). The results of this exercise for earnings, blue-collar status and mass layoffs are reported in Panel C of Tables 11, 12, and 13. The results are very similar for blue-collar status and job loss due to mass layoffs but become smaller and less precise for earnings at age 55 and 60.

6.3 Testing for spillover effects

Information about a Nazi raid may transmit to neighboring villages/municipalities and fear of a Nazi raid may also impact their residents. If this is the case, some control municipalities may also have been affected. This will downward bias estimates of β_1 (Equation 1) and β_3 (Equation 2). To examine the relevance of such effects, we exclude the municipalities that underwent a raid. Next, in the remaining sample (of the controls), we define the municipalities in the vicinity (within a distance of 5km) of treated municipalities as treated. Panel D Tables 11, 12, and 13 show that all estimates

[31] We additionally estimate a monthly event study that confirms that the common trend assumption cannot be rejected (results available upon request).

are small and not significant, indicating that there are no spillover effects.[32] [33]

6.4 Flexible province trends and different samples

Flexible province trends

The control variable War_{pt} in Equation 1 may not be able to fully control for general war effects. This may affect the estimate as well as the interpretation of β_1. We therefore use more flexible models where we replace War_{pt} and the regional trends by $province \times year \times month$ fixed effects. The results in Panel E of Tables 11, 12, and 13 show that this does not affect our effect estimates.

Changes in the length of gestation

As a further sensitivity test, we explore the effect of changes in the gestation length. We defined our exposure variable $Nazi_{mt}$ assuming a 9 month gestation period (see Section 3.4). However, maternal stress associated with a traumatoic event may lead to preterm birth, in the medical literature defined as a gestation period of less than 37 weeks (Lilliecreutz et al., 2016). With shorter gestation some of those exposed may in fact have been conceived after September 8, 1943, or may have been exposed post birth. This measurement error may bias the parameter estimates (downward). Unfortunately, we only observe births and lack information on gestation length or preterm status. We, therefore, proceed differently and examine the sensitivity of our results by estimating Equations 1 and 2 assuming both an eight-month and a seven-month gestation period.[34] We report the results of this exercise in Panel F of Tables 11, 12 and 13. Reducing the gestation period increases the magnitude and significance of the estimates.

Using only information from the first 6 months after the Armistice

As the Armistice was was unexpected, it can be argued that the population had not anticipated the series of events that followed. This limits the role of fertility responses (see also the Section 6.6). However, as the war went on, more information about the raids and the WWII in general may have

[32]We also perform analyses where we keep the treated municipalities in the sample, but exclude nearby (5km radius) municipalities. The results are virtually identical to the baseline results (Panel A). Results available upon request

[33]Further, note that spillover effects are not relevant when we estimate the model on treated municipalities only. The results of Subsection 6.2) also suggest that spillover effects are not important.

[34]We trim the data from the right. For an eight month gestation period we take births in the [-9,8] window around September 8, 1943. For a seven month gestation period we take the [-9,7] window around September 8, 1943.

disseminated. This may imply that with time, fear and stress may have gained in importance in control municipalities, leading to a downward bias in our effect estimates. Further, Table B2 in Appendix B.1 shows that in the first six months after September 8, the raids involved primarily civilian victims. For these reasons we re-estimate our main model using the data up to 6 months after September 8, 1943. Note that by using this restricted time window we also reduce measurement error in the exposure variable ($Nazi_{mt}$) (see above). The results are reported in Panel G of Tables 11, 12, and 13 and show that the effects are very similar and quantitatively even gain in importance.

Changing the municipality size cut-off.

The nature of the war was different in large cities (see 3.3). We therefore restricted our sample to municipalities with less than 200,000 inhabitants. To examine the sensitivity of our findings to this cut-off we also perform additional analyses varying the municipality size. The results are reported in Panel H and I of Tables 11, 12, and 13. Panel H shows the results if we use a cap of 500,000 and Panel I when there is no cap. As expected (see the discussion in Section 3.3), relaxing the cap leads to smaller and less precise parameter estimates of β_1 (and β_3).

HERE GO TABLES 11, 12 and 13

6.5 Falsification test

Finally, we verify our identification assumptions and demonstrate the statistical power of our inferences by falsification tests. More precisely, we randomly assign the Nazi raids to individuals in our sample. If our identification strategy is valid, we would expect estimates using those pseudo-samples to be centered around zero. We plot the distribution of the t-statistics from 5,000 estimated pseudo-treatment effects for earnings at age 30 and blue-collar status at age 30 in Figures B3, and B4 of Appendix B.4 (results for other ages are available upon request). As expected, both distributions are centered around zero and the t-statistics of our main analyses (indicated with the vertical dotted red line) are at the far end of the left (earnings) and right (blue-collar status) tails of the distributions. Specifically, the share of t-values that (in absolute terms) exceeds the t-statistics from our main model is less than 1%.

6.6 Selection effects

Private sector selection.

Our analyses are based on a sample of private sector workers, which may question external validity. The INPS pension data has information of all workers, both working in the private and public sector. This allows us to examine whether the prenatally exposed were more (or less) likely to sort into the private sector. We find that they were not. More specifically, we regress an indicator for working in the private sector on in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid, municipality fixed effects, the number war casualties, $year \times month$ fixed effects and regional trends. The coefficient of the Nazi raid is small (-0.0015) and not significant (s.e. 0.0011, p-value of 0,17).

Selection issues at conception, in utero and in later life

Confounding due to selection can occur at several stages in the life cycle: *i)* at conception; *ii)* in utero; *iii)* between birth and 1974 (age 30); *iv)* after 1974. With respect to selection at conception, our sample pertains to all births conceived $before$ the date of the unexpected proclamation of the Armistice. Moreover, should conception rates vary with socio-economic status and structural differences between municipalities, the municipality fixed effects would account for this.

Further, selective mortality due to reasons *ii* - *iv* is likely to lead to survival of the stronger individuals. In this case our estimates are likely to be a lower bound of the true effects. We nevertheless examined this further. To deal with in-utero selection, we retrieve regional data on cause specific mortality for complications during pregnancy or at birth in the WWII era. If this mortality rate is higher in periods of a Nazi raid, then this implies that fewer women gave birth in these periods. We regress regional mortality rates due to pregnancy complications from 1941 to 1946 on Nazi raids, the number of WWII casualties (War_{pt}), and year and region specific fixed effects. Table B4 of Appendix B.5 shows that Nazi raids did not increase mortality due to pregnancy complications.

Mortality selection after conception can occur in utero (miscarriages), between birth and the first time we observe individuals at age 30 and later. The results in column 2 of Table 8 show that there is no mortality selection between age 30 and 60 (*iv*). To test for selective mortality due to miscarriages and between birth and age 30 (*ii* and *iii*), we estimated the following regression model on the sample of treated and control municipalities:

$$CS^a_{mt} = \beta^a_0 + \beta^a_1 Nazi_{mt} + \beta^a_2 War_{pt} + \alpha^a_m + \gamma^a_t + \delta^a_{tr} + \epsilon^a_t, \quad (4)$$

where CS^a_{mt} is the standardized cohort size at age a (a = 30) in municipality m at time t (for t = Jan 1943, ..., May 1944), $Nazi_{mt}$ equals one if municipality m at time t was exposed to a Nazi raid, War_{pt} the number of casualties, α^a_m are municipality fixed effects, γ^a_t are $year \times month$ fixed effects, δ^a_{tr} regional trends and ϵ^a_{mt} an idiosyncratic error term.

Our main coefficient of interest is β^a_1, which we report in Table B5 of Appendix B.5.[35] The results show that the cohort size of a municipality is not significantly different in the months when a Nazi raid took place. This suggests that selection effects for reasons *ii* and *iii* are not likely to bias our effect estimates.

Selective mobility

The unexpected declaration of the Armistice is likely to rule out endogenous residential mobility in the short time window of our analyses. Moreover, movements across Italian provinces during WWII were very difficult due to the destruction of railroads and transportation networks (Baldoli and Knapp, 2012). To empirically test for selective mobility we use an event study model that relates standardized municipality cohort sizes to leads and lags of Nazi raid exposure, province level general war effects War_{pt}, municipality fixed effects, $year \times month$ effects and regional trends. We take the normalization at the month of a Nazi raid. Residential mobility may affect our effect estimates if prior to the raid people moved out of the affected municipality, leading to lower cohort sizes. Figure B5 in Appendix B.5 plots the estimated lead and lag coefficients. The figure shows that the lead and lag effects are very small (less than 0.05 of a standard deviation) and not significantly different from zero, suggesting that endogenous residential mobility is not likely to bias our estimates.

[35]Note that β^a_1 may also pick up fertility effects.

7 Interpreting our results: Is it stress?

The onset of the armistice brought war in Italy. A war may lead to food shortages, outbreaks of infectious diseases and sub-optimally functioning health care systems. Further, the *potential* threat of bombings produces fear and stress among the population (Becker and Rubinstein, 2011). To account for such effects we control for general war effects (War_{pt}) and other time varying province characteristics ($year \times month$ fixed effects). Therefore, $Nazi_{mt}$ measures the effect of a Nazi raid, over and above general war effects. Below we argue that maternal stress is likely to be the main driver of the Nazi raid effect. However, it must be noted that any study attempting to interpret effect estimates as being driven by stress can never rule out other mechanisms. This holds even for studies that measure actual stress hormone (Cortisol) levels.

The clinical literature offers exhaustive reviews of the damage related to prenatal maternal stress (PNMS) resulting from potentially traumatic events (PTE). PNMS is found to negatively affect mental, cognitive, emotional and immunological functioning of the offspring (Checkley, 1996; de Kloet et al., 2005; Gitau et al., 2001; Hansen et al., 2000; Heffelfinger and Newcomer, 2001; Lederman et al., 2004; Leeners et al., 2007; Matthews, 2000; Mulder et al., 2002; Selten et al., 1999; Weinstock, 2001, among others). PNMS may lead to abnormal activity of the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenocortical (HPA)-axis, which not only exposes the fetus to altered stress hormone levels, but may also increase the permeability of the placental barrier (Van den Bergh et al., 2005), affecting placental functioning and exposing the fetus to higher maternal glucocorticoids (a.o. cortisol), hormones that affect fetal brain development (Zhang et al., 2018).

Additionally, PNMS stimulates the production of Corticotropin-Releasing Hormone (CRH) (Majzoub and Karalis, 1999). Amongst others, CRH affects responses to stress, addiction and depression. Boersma and Tamashiro (2014) demonstrated how PNMS may modulate offspring stress-coping phenotype. Yehuda et al. (2016) show that PNMS is associated with epigenetic alterations that are evident in both the exposed parent and the offspring.

Recent economic literature supported these claims by identifying effects of PNMS on birth outcomes, education and mental health (Aizer et al., 2016; Black et al., 2016; Camacho, 2008; Persson and Rossin-Slater, 2018; Quintana-Domeque and Rodenas-Serrano, 2017). Our findings for educational attainment and the mediation analysis (Section 5.1) are in line with these studies. Below we examine whether, even after controlling for general war effects, $Nazi_{mt}$, may still pick up other effects such as hunger, worse maternal health and health behaviors, and family income.

7.1 Supporting evidence in favor of a stress interpretation

Is it prenatal?

In Section 4, we refer to studies showing that the effects of a psychological trauma may persist over a longer period and affect parental health (behaviors) and parenting skills. Moreover, the Nazi raids may also have affected family incomes. Consequently, the effects of the raids may extend beyond the prenatal period. In Subsection 6.1 we report the results allowing for exposure in the first and second year of life and found small and not significant effects. This means that the effect of $Nazi_{mt}$ is primarily driven by prenatal conditions and not by behavioral and/or income effects that extend into childhood.

Is it stress or other war-related conditions such as malnutrition?

To examine this we use the Health Search/CSD Patient Database, a nationally representative sample of adult patients, and contains electronic clinical records (ECRs) from General Practitioners (GP). The records include ATC (Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical) drug classification codes, which we use to compute drug expenditures for specific disease types at the patient level.[36] We regress ATC health code expenditures on our treatment variable, controlling for age, WWII casualties at the province level, GP fixed effects, municipality fixed effects, time fixed effects and regional trends. Tables C1, C2, and C3 in Appendix C show a significant and sizable efefct of Nazi raids only on health expenditure for diseases of the nervous system and mental disorders. This finding is in line with the medical literature that finds strong associations between in-utero stress exposure and various psycho-pathologies later in life such as memory problems, decreased learning function, depression and dementia (Checkley, 1996; Heffelfinger and Newcomer, 2001; Selten et al., 1999). This finding also aligns with the results of Persson and Rossin-Slater (2018) who found effects of maternal grief on adolescent mental health.

[36]More information about this database and the Italian health care system can be found in Appendix C.

What about food shortages? During and just after WWII some countries (Greece (1941-1942), Russia (Leningrad, 1942), The Netherlands (1944-1945), and Germany (1945-1946)) experienced severe famines, with daily food intake dropping to 500-1,000 calories per day (Van den Berg and Lindeboom, 2018). The medical and epidemiological literature generally agrees that famine exposure has strong association with BMI, diabetes and hypertension (Van den Berg and Lindeboom, 2018). Lumey et al. (2011) reviewed epidemiological studies based on the Dutch, Chinese, and Leningrad famines and concludes that there is a persistent association between early life famine exposure and BMI, diabetes and schizophrenia.

Up to 1942 food production in Italy was at the pre-war level (2600 calories per day), but food shortages became more apparent in 1942 and supply dropped to about 2000 calories per day and remained at these levels until the end of the war (Daniele and Ghezzi, 2017; Ó Gráda, 2019; Vecchi, 2017). This is substantially higher than the caloric intake during the famines of the countries mentioned above. Also, there was a stark rural - urban distinction. Rural nutrition was quantitatively not significantly dissimilar to pre-war years, while urban households were more likley to suffer from food shortages (Daniele and Ghezzi, 2017).[37] In our identification strategy we wash out the effects of war-related shortages by controlling for the intensity of the war and focus on the effect of short-lived Nazi raids in smaller municipalities. Indeed, the regression results in Tables C1, C2 and C3 of Appendix C show that there is no association between prenatal exposure to a Nazi raid and cardiovascular conditions and diabetes (see the second column of the Tables), conditions generally found in famine studies. Lastly, famine studies also find effects for children who have been exposed post-birth (see Lumey et al., 2011). We do not find any effect for exposure in the first or second year of life (see Subsection 6.1).

8 Conclusions and Discussion

This paper complements the existing literature by examining the causal effect of a traumatic and stressful event experienced by a pregnant mother on her offspring's life-long labor market outcomes.

[37] Larger cities generally, for political and strategic reasons, suffered more from bombings, mass destruction and nutritional shortages. For this reason we base our analyses on municipalities with less than 200,000 inhabitants. These are mostly rural municipalities.

We exploit a unique natural experiment involving short-lived, randomly placed violent Nazi raids across municipalities after the Armistice of September 8^{th}, 1943, when the Italian Kingdom ceased hostilities against the Allied forces in WWII. We use administrative data on the universe of private sector workers in Italy and link these data to unique historical databases with detailed information about war casualties and the assignment of the Nazi raids across space and time.

In a generalized Difference-in-Differences model we find that prenatally exposure to a Nazi raid leads to an earnings penalty of about 2% at the start of their working career. The prenatally exposed also hold lower qualification jobs. The earnings penalty persists over time and increases to about 6% at age 60. These lower earnings translate into lower pensions. About 42% of the earnings effect is mediated through education. We find that a bad start (i.e. prenatal exposure) exacerbates the negative effects of later life job loss on earnings, deepening the negative impact on earnings at later ages. These job loss effects on earnings are substantial: between 31–34% for all workers and up to 47% for workers exposed prenatally to a traumatic event. We thus find that a bad start may amplify adverse effects of negative shocks later in life. This suggest that dynamic complementarities (Cunha and Heckman, 2007) may be important. Our findings are robust against alternative specifications and falsification tests.

We argue that stress is likely to be an important mechanism driving our findings. Previous work in medical sciences, epidemiology and economics has documented negative effects of potentially traumatic events and the associated stress on various psycho-pathologies later in life such as decreased learning function, cognition, education and mental health. Indeed, we find a lower educational attainment for those who were prenatally exposed to a Nazi raid. We additionally find higher medical care expenditures on diseases of the nervous system and mental disorders. We also show that the effects of Nazi raids are not driven by hunger, changes in maternal health behaviors and reduced family income.

While our findings are in accordance with results from earlier economic studies that focused on cognition, years of education and the mental health of children and adolescents (Black et al., 2016; Persson and Rossin-Slater, 2018), the raids used in our study are meaningfully different from the bereavement measure used in previous work.

In addition to a different treatment, the labor market outcomes in our study go beyond outcomes in previous work, and the subjects involved are much older. Nevertheless, the effect estimates on prescription drug consumption are comparable. We find that males aged 60–65, who were prenatally exposed to a traumatic event, are about 3.6 percentage points more likely (about a 13% increase) to purchase drugs for diseases of the nervous system and mental disorders, and have 17% higher medical expenditures. Persson and Rossin-Slater (2018) find a 13% and 8% increase in the likelihood of consuming drugs for anxiety and depression.

Besides prescription drugs use, prenatal exposure to a traumatic event may also affect other medical costs, increase social security spending, productivity, and lifetime income. The per-person present discounted value (PDV) of income losses (in 2005 euros) due to a traumatic experience is estimated at 14,219 euros. This is equal to about one year of earnings at age 30.[38]

What about external validity? Our study concerns a historical context that could be described as extreme and rare, but we know that in developing countries like Syria, Venezuela, Afghanistan, South Sudan and Myanmar war, millions are affected by conflict and terror. The recent war in Ukraine is a grim reminder that also in the developed world violence against civilians extends to settings observed today. Besides, stressful conditions are not limited to war, but also hold for deprived neighborhoods, where crime, unemployment and poverty rates are high. The COVID-19 pandemic where fear for health, economic security and the well-being pervaded families with poor qualifications and limited resources dis-proportionally. Consequently, traumatic and stressful events may play an important role in the persistence of low socio-economic status across generations (see also Aizer et al., 2016). Our findings suggests that public programs targeted at (pregnant) women and children, can be very effective in mitigating the negative effects of a bad start and the consequences of adversities later in life.

[38]The per-person PDV of life-long income loss is derived using:

$$PDV^{loss} = \sum_{a=30}^{100} \frac{\beta_1^a \times \overline{income_a}}{(1+i)^{a-30}} \times P(a+1|a) \tag{5}$$

where $\beta_1^a \times \overline{income_a}$ is the yearly income loss due to prenatal exposure at age a, using Table 4, i is the discount factor, which we set at 2%, and $P(a+1|a)$ as the probability of survival to age $a+1$, given survival up to age a.

Tables

Table 1: Descriptive statistics on Nazi raids episodes between Sept 1943 - May 1944 in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents.

	N. obs.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	p1	p50	p99
Italy								
Length in days	1603	1.4	2.67	1	54	1	1	14
Number of victims	1603	3.27	7.35	1	130	1	1	29
Proportion of women (%)	1603	9.53	25.99	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of children (%)	1603	1.89	11.49	0	100	0	0	80
Proportion of men (%)	1603	88.58	28.57	0	100	0	100	100
Proportion of partisans (%)	1603	17.2	36.45	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of searches (%)	1603	30.88	46.21	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of retaliations (%)	1603	10.67	30.88	0	100	0	0	100
Northwest								
Length in days	248	1.77	3.43	1	36	1	1	21
Number of victims	248	4.9	9.26	1	97	1	2	51
Proportion of women (%)	248	5.74	19.52	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of children (%)	248	.4	6.35	0	100	0	0	0
Proportion of men (%)	248	93.86	20.41	0	100	0	100	100
Proportion of partisans (%)	248	42.81	46.71	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of searches (%)	248	51.61	50.08	0	100	0	100	100
Proportion of retaliations (%)	248	15.73	36.48	0	100	0	0	100
Northeast								
Length in days	181	1.67	4.64	1	54	1	1	29
Number of victims	181	3.41	10.42	1	130	1	1	32
Proportion of women (%)	181	7.45	25.2	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of children (%)	181	.68	7.57	0	100	0	0	19.35
Proportion of men (%)	181	91.87	26.34	0	100	0	100	100
Proportion of partisans (%)	181	35.01	45.98	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of searches (%)	181	32.04	46.79	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of retaliations (%)	181	17.68	38.26	0	100	0	0	100
Center								
Length in days	394	1.36	2.2	1	27	1	1	11
Number of victims	394	3.24	5.11	1	46	1	1	29
Proportion of women (%)	394	6.4	20.66	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of children (%)	394	1.68	10.67	0	100	0	0	66.67
Proportion of men (%)	394	91.92	23.63	0	100	0	100	100
Proportion of partisans (%)	394	22.9	40.63	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of searches (%)	394	45.94	49.9	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of retaliations (%)	394	8.63	28.12	0	100	0	0	100
South								
Length in days	780	1.24	1.84	1	28	1	1	8
Number of victims	780	2.74	6.67	1	125	1	1	25
Proportion of women (%)	780	12.81	29.75	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of children (%)	780	2.75	13.64	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of men (%)	780	84.45	32.71	0	100	0	100	100
Proportion of partisans (%)	780	2.04	13.78	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of searches (%)	780	16.41	37.06	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of retaliations (%)	780	8.46	27.85	0	100	0	0	100

Notes: Nazi raids between Sept 1943 – May 1944 occurring in Italian municipalities with resident population under 200,000.

Table 2: Descriptive statistics for INPS men born between January 1943 and May 1944

	Mean	Std. Dev.	N. obs.
Nazi violence in utero	0.08	(0.27)	283,975
War victims in utero (# for 100k province pop.)	57.08	(69.38)	283,975
First year of earnings	1977	(6.61)	283,975
Last year of earnings	1994	(9.77)	283,975
Number of years with positive earnings	16	(9.89)	283,975
Earnings at 30	15014	(8123)	211,641
Earnings at 40	19999	(10240)	187,049
Earnings at 50	26582	(18626)	58,164
Earnings at 60	24712	(24785)	47,560
Blue-collar at 30	0.79	(0.41)	211,641
Blue-collar at 40	0.71	(0.46)	187,049
Blue-collar at 50	0.68	(0.47)	158,164
Blue-collar at 60	0.68	(0.47)	47,560
Ever disabled	0.11	(0.31)	283,975
Ever unemployed	0.22	(0.42)	283,975
Ever unemployed due to mass layoff	0.10	(0.27)	283,975
Retired	0.82	(0.39)	283,975
Retirement age	58	(5.39)	232,035
First monthly retirement pension	1173	(859)	232,035
Dead	0.24	(0.43)	283,975

Notes: The numbers refer to a sample of 283,975 males working in the private sector, born in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents between January 1943 and May 1944. Earnings and pensions are expressed in 2005 euros.

Table 3: Descriptive Difference-in-Differences statistics of the sample of INPS men born between January 1943 and May 1944

	Control		*Treated*		*DiD*
	Before (*A*)	*After* (*B*)	*Before* (*C*)	*After* (*D*)	$(D-C)$ $-(B-A)$
earnings at 30	14579	15212	15183	15531	-285**
earnings at 40	19683	19917	20768	20622	-381**
earnings at 50	26049	26206	28721	27764	-1113***
earnings at 60	23865	24180	27689	26387	-1617***
blue-collar at 30	0.8	0.8	0.73	0.77	0.04***
blue-collar at 40	0.72	0.71	0.66	0.67	0.02***
blue-collar at 50	0.69	0.69	0.63	0.64	0.01***
blue-collar at 60	0.69	0.68	0.62	0.65	0.04***
Ever disabled	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.01*
Ever unemployed	0.23	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.01*
Ever unemployed due to mass layoff	0.1	0.1	0.09	0.09	0
Retired	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.00
Age at retirement	57.79	57.64	57.99	57.9	0.06*
First retirement monthly pension	1231	1254	1354	1348	-29*
Dead	0.21	0.19	0.21	0.19	0
Observations	103,818	118,557	29,743	31,857	

Notes: The numbers refer to the sample of males working in the private sector between 1974 and 2018 born in January 1943–May 1944 in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents. The sample size varies according to outcome variable and to when it was measured. Wages and pensions are expressed in 2005 euros. *, ** and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively.

Table 4: The effect of in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid: Age specific (log) earnings

	Earnings at 30	Earnings at 35	Earnings at 40	Earnings at 45	Earnings at 50	Earnings at 55	Earnings at 60
Nazi raid	-0.0218***	-0.0238***	-0.0155**	-0.0177**	-0.0268***	-0.0254**	-0.0551***
in utero	(0.0080)	(0.0075)	(0.0076)	(0.0077)	(0.0085)	(0.0120)	(0.0194)
WWII casualties	-0.0065**	-0.0073**	-0.0046	-0.0053	-0.0052	-0.0115**	-0.0118*
(z-score) in utero	(0.0032)	(0.0031)	(0.0030)	(0.0034)	(0.0036)	(0.0048)	(0.0064)
R^2	0.1514	0.1437	0.1391	0.1432	0.1348	0.1418	0.1712
N	211,641	207,420	187,049	170,775	158,164	101,081	47,560
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**} p < 0.05, ^{*} p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944) in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents. The outcome is age specific earnings between the ages of 30 and 60. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects, and 20 region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table 5: The effect of in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid: Age specific blue-collar status

	Blue-collar at 30	Blue-collar at 35	Blue-collar at 40	Blue-collar at 45	Blue-collar at 50	Blue-collar at 55	Blue-collar at 60
Nazi raid	0.0222***	0.0028	0.0176***	0.0172***	0.0184***	0.0277***	0.0370***
in utero	(0.0055)	(0.0067)	(0.0063)	(0.0062)	(0.0065)	(0.0072)	(0.0109)
WWII casualties	0.0030	0.0039**	0.0062***	0.0048**	0.0050**	0.0064**	0.0027
(z-score) in utero	(0.0019)	(0.0019)	(0.0022)	(0.0023)	(0.0024)	(0.0030)	(0.0039)
R^2	0.0885	0.0965	0.1036	0.1107	0.1160	0.1658	0.1976
N	211,641	207,420	187,049	170,775	158,164	101,081	47,560
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944) in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents. The outcome is age specific earnings between the ages of 30 and 60. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects, and region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table 6: The effect of in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid: Education attainment

	Presence in education sample	Less than secondary education	Tertiary education
Nazi raid	0.0013	0.0450**	-0.0357***
in utero	(0.0026)	(0.0188)	(0.0121)
WWII casualties	0.0005	0.0104	-0.0035
(z-score) in utero	(0.0013)	(0.0076)	(0.0053)
R^2	0.0384	0.2359	0.2122
N	283,741	19,397	19,397
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944) in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects, and region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table 7: Impact of Nazi raids in utero on education attainment levels and their contribution to the total treatment effect on log wages.

	Mediation model	Baseline model
Nazi raid	-0.004	-0.021**
in utero	(0.078)	(0.009)
No education	-0.506***	
	(0.040)	
Primary education	-0.573***	
	(0.031)	
Tertiary education	0.542***	
	(0.043)	
Nazi×No education	-0.021	
	(0.094)	
Nazi×Primary education	-0.085	
	(0.087)	
Nazi×Tertiary education	-0.194*	
	(0.116)	
R^2	0.479	0.137
N	10,274	158,232
Contribution of mediators		
No education	-4.94%	
Primary education	-1.45%	
Tertiary education	-36.04%	
Total contribution of mediators	-42.43%	

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The top panel of the table contains weighted regression models for the mediation model (column 1) and for the baseline model (column 2). Following Huber (2014), we construct inverse probability weights from the probability of treatment as a function of the full set of education attainment mediators and the usual set of controls and fixed effects. In the bottom panel of the table, we calculate the contribution of each mediating level of education to the total treatment effect on log wages at 55 (Adhvaryu et al., 2019). The sample refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944) in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents working in the private sector at the age of 55 (column 2) and with data on education attainment (column 1). All regressions include controls for WWII casualties, year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects, and region specific time trends. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table 8: The effect of in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid: Other outcomes before age 60

	Disability before 60	Dead before 60	Age at retirement	First pension (log)	# Unemployment claims before 60
Nazi raid	0.0007	-0.0028	0.0595	-0.0209**	0.0309*
in utero	(0.0018)	(0.0028)	(0.0562)	(0.0093)	(0.0159)
WWII casualties	0.0033***	0.0006	0.0117	-0.0051	-0.0025
(z-score) in utero	(0.0012)	(0.0009)	(0.0192)	(0.0034)	(0.0083)
R^2	0.0413	0.0627	0.1970	0.055	0.0535
N	283,975	283,975	227,987	227,987	283,975
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The sample refers to 283,975 individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944) in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents. Outcomes include Disability, Mortality and Unemployment claims. Age at retirement and first pension are the first outcome occurring between 1974 and 2017. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects, and region specific time trends. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table 9: The effect of in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid: Effects of a mass layoff on (log) earnings in the following year

	age 45	age 50	age 55	age 60
Nazi raid	-0.0244***	-0.0247***	-0.0233*	-0.0519***
in utero (β_1^a)	(0.0079)	(0.0083)	(0.0123)	(0.0197)
Layoff (β_2^a)	-0.3359***	-0.3234***	-0.3350***	-0.3157***
	(0.0139)	(0.0120)	(0.0163)	(0.0234)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0781*	-0.0996**	-0.1436**	-0.0445
in utero (β_3^a)	(0.0474)	(0.0490)	(0.0625)	(0.0709)
WWII casualties	-0.0063*	-0.0063*	-0.0097*	-0.0058
(z-score) in utero (β_4^a)	(0.0034)	(0.0033)	(0.0050)	(0.0070)
R^2	0.1500	0.1427	0.1682	0.2006
N	155,587	145,885	85,302	39,325
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944) in municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents, and refers to individuals who had positive earnings in the period prior to the layoff. All regressions include year × month and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table 10: The effect of in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid: Effect of mass layoffs on probability of death within 10 years

	age 45	age 50	age 55	age 60
Nazi raid	0.0004	-0.0032	-0.0083*	-0.0110
in utero (β_1^a)	(0.0026)	(0.0032)	(0.0044)	(0.0082)
Layoff (β_2^a)	0.0056	0.0042	0.0155**	0.0187*
	(0.0039)	(0.0042)	(0.0067)	(0.0108)
Layoff× Nazi raid	0.0051	-0.0153	0.0102	-0.0335
in utero (β_3^a)	(0.0153)	(0.0139)	(0.0243)	(0.0295)
WWII casualties	-0.0004	-0.0006	0.0007	-0.0005
(z-score) in utero (β_4^a)	(0.0008)	(0.0011)	(0.0015)	(0.0026)
R^2	0.0422	0.0461	0.0662	0.1106
N	170,830	158,232	101,124	47,582
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01$, $^{**}p < 0.05$, $^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The sample refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944) in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents, and refers to individuals who had positive earnings in the period prior the layoff. All regressions include year × month and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table 11: Robustness checks: Age specific (log) earnings

	age 30	age 35	age 40	age 45	age 50	age 55	age 60
(*A*) *Baseline model [-9,9] window*							
Nazi raid	-0.0218***	-0.0238***	-0.0155**	-0.0177**	-0.0268***	-0.0254**	-0.0551***
in utero	(0.0080)	(0.0075)	(0.0076)	(0.0077)	(0.0085)	(0.0120)	(0.0194)
N	211,641	207,420	187,049	170,775	158,164	101,081	47,560
(*B*) *Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and the Common trend assumption [-24,9] window*							
Nazi raid	-0.0177**	-0.0337***	-0.0137**	-0.0206***	-0.0342***	-0.0225*	-0.0372**
in utero	(0.0084)	(0.0076)	(0.0067)	(0.0069)	(0.0081)	(0.0121)	(0.0190)
Nazi raid	0.0131	-0.0057	0.0038	0.0027	-0.0003	0.0038	0.0205
1st year	(0.0092)	(0.0067)	(0.0058)	(0.0063)	(0.0072)	(0.0112)	(0.0188)
Nazi raid	0.0229	0.0011	0.0043	0.0037	-0.0015	0.0013	0.0042
2nd year	(0.0177)	(0.0068)	(0.0060)	(0.0064)	(0.0073)	(0.0111)	(0.0183)
N	376,895	386,610	354,811	319,900	299,326	191,942	89,267
(*C*) *Conditional random assignment assumption/a different identification strategy [-9,9] window*							
Nazi raid	-0.0210**	-0.0214**	-0.0079	-0.0196**	-0.0189*	-0.0149	-0.0202
in utero	(0.0094)	(0.0089)	(0.0090)	(0.0092)	(0.0100)	(0.0156)	(0.0280)
N	93,778	91,319	82,300	75,373	70,457	43,375	18,945
(*D*) *Baseline model - spatial spillovers - 5km radius [-9,9] window*							
Nazi raid	0.0031	0.0001	-0.0045	-0.0009	0.0052	-0.0161	0.0081
in utero	(0.0179)	(0.0169)	(0.0152)	(0.0166)	(0.0169)	(0.0263)	(0.0409)
N	195,406	191,349	172,793	157,893	146,512	92,692	43,255
(*E*) *Flexible province trends [-9,9] window*							
Nazi raid	-0.0210**	-0.0214**	-0.0079	-0.0196**	-0.0189*	-0.0149	-0.0202
in utero	(0.0094)	(0.0089)	(0.0090)	(0.0092)	(0.0100)	(0.0156)	(0.0280)
N	93,778	91,319	82,300	75,373	70,457	43,375	18,945
(*F*) *Changes in the length of gestation [-9,8] window*							
Nazi raid	-0.0241***	-0.0277***	-0.0142*	-0.0198**	-0.0292***	-0.0249**	-0.0526***
in utero	(0.0085)	(0.0079)	(0.0081)	(0.0081)	(0.0092)	(0.0123)	(0.0200)
N	201,078	197,052	177,859	162,270	150,403	95,783	45,091
(*G*) *Changes in the length of gestation [-9,7] window*							
Nazi raid	-0.0216**	-0.0269***	-0.0154*	-0.0185**	-0.0265***	-0.0313**	-0.0636***
in utero	(0.0093)	(0.0087)	(0.0088)	(0.0088)	(0.0099)	(0.0132)	(0.0220)
N	189,423	185,605	167,716	152,960	141,929	89,947	42,377
(*H*) *Using only information from the first 6 months [-9,6] window*							
Nazi raid	-0.0228**	-0.0264***	-0.0211**	-0.0221**	-0.0276**	-0.0391***	-0.0692***
in utero	(0.0102)	(0.0096)	(0.0097)	(0.0095)	(0.0107)	(0.0140)	(0.0234)
N	175,883	172,306	155,884	141,936	131,898	83,162	39,307
(*I*) *Changing the municipality size cut-off - municipalities under 500k residents [-9,9] window*							
Nazi raid	-0.0244***	-0.0268***	-0.0140**	-0.0191**	-0.0294***	-0.0310***	-0.0526***
in utero	(0.0076)	(0.0070)	(0.0071)	(0.0075)	(0.0081)	(0.0116)	(0.0184)
N	222,610	218,207	196,613	179,350	166,095	106,767	50,244
(*J*) *Changing the municipality size cut-off - municipalities no cap on size [-9,9] window*							
Nazi raid	-0.0158**	-0.0159**	-0.0077	-0.0116	-0.0203**	-0.0252**	-0.0463***
in utero	(0.0076)	(0.0068)	(0.0068)	(0.0079)	(0.0080)	(0.0119)	(0.0156)
N	242,079	237,520	213,823	194,641	179,792	118,123	56,271

$^{***}p < 0.01,^{**}p < 0.05,^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: Unless otherwise specified, all estimates are based on municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents, are obtained for age specific subsamples (30 to 60), include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table 12: Robustness checks: Age specific blue-collar status

	age 30	age 35	age 40	age 45	age 50	age 55	age 60
(*A*) *Baseline model [-9,9] window*							
Nazi raid	0.0222***	0.0028	0.0176***	0.0172***	0.0184***	0.0277***	0.0370***
in utero	(0.0055)	(0.0067)	(0.0063)	(0.0062)	(0.0065)	(0.0072)	(0.0109)
N	211,641	207,420	187,049	170,775	158,164	101,081	47,560
(*B*) *Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and the Common trend assumption [-24,9] window*							
Nazi raid	0.0291***	0.0053	0.0224***	0.0248***	0.0266***	0.0299***	0.0423***
in utero	(0.0052)	(0.0060)	(0.0061)	(0.0060)	(0.0064)	(0.0079)	(0.0116)
Nazi raid	-0.0013	-0.0001	0.0041	0.0075	0.0076	0.0076	0.0116
1st year	(0.0047)	(0.0048)	(0.0057)	(0.0055)	(0.0057)	(0.0071)	(0.0104)
Nazi raid	-0.0043	-0.0039	-0.0032	0.0002	0.0003	-0.0007	0.0047
2nd year	(0.0048)	(0.0042)	(0.0049)	(0.0053)	(0.0055)	(0.0069)	(0.0105)
N	376,895	386,610	354,811	319,900	299,326	191,942	89,267
(*C*) *Conditional random assignment assumption/a different identification strategy [-9,9] window*							
Nazi raid	0.0206***	0.0003	0.0173**	0.0200***	0.0193**	0.0303***	0.0474***
in utero	(0.0070)	(0.0077)	(0.0079)	(0.0075)	(0.0079)	(0.0097)	(0.0159)
N	93,778	91,319	82,300	75,373	70,457	43,375	18,945
(*D*) *Baseline model - spatial spillovers - 5km radius [-9,9] window*							
Nazi raid	-0.0027	-0.0023	-0.0110	-0.0090	0.0001	-0.0173	-0.0337
in utero	(0.0095)	(0.0097)	(0.0110)	(0.0111)	(0.0123)	(0.0162)	(0.0249)
N	195,406	191,349	172,793	157,893	146,512	92,692	43,255
(*E*) *Flexible province trends [-9,9] window*							
Nazi raid	0.0202***	0.0004	0.0135**	0.0132*	0.0117*	0.0195**	0.0399***
in utero	(0.0059)	(0.0070)	(0.0066)	(0.0068)	(0.0070)	(0.0079)	(0.0119)
N	211,641	207,420	187,049	170,775	158,164	101,081	47,560
(*F*) *Changes in the length of gestation [-9,8] window*							
Nazi raid	0.0224***	0.0033	0.0167**	0.0148**	0.0172**	0.0272***	0.0342***
in utero	(0.0056)	(0.0067)	(0.0065)	(0.0063)	(0.0068)	(0.0076)	(0.0111)
N	201,078	197,052	177,859	162,270	150,403	95,783	45,091
(*G*) *Changes in the length of gestation [-9,7] window*							
Nazi raid	0.0241***	0.0028	0.0174**	0.0146**	0.0166**	0.0290***	0.0382***
in utero	(0.0061)	(0.0073)	(0.0070)	(0.0068)	(0.0072)	(0.0080)	(0.0115)
N	189,423	185,605	167,716	152,960	141,929	89,947	42,377
(*H*) *Using only information from the first 6 months [-9,6] window*							
Nazi raid	0.0214***	0.0053	0.0189**	0.0141*	0.0155**	0.0229***	0.0308**
in utero	(0.0065)	(0.0075)	(0.0074)	(0.0073)	(0.0077)	(0.0087)	(0.0129)
N	175,883	172,306	155,884	141,936	131,898	83,162	39,307
(*I*) *Changing the municipality size cut-off - municipalities under 500k residents [-9,9] window*							
Nazi raid	0.0284***	0.0042	0.0220***	0.0212***	0.0223***	0.0308***	0.0419***
in utero	(0.0055)	(0.0063)	(0.0060)	(0.0058)	(0.0060)	(0.0069)	(0.0103)
N	222,610	218,207	196,613	179,350	166,095	106,767	50,244
(*J*) *Changing the municipality size cut-off - municipalities no cap on size [-9,9] window*							
Nazi raid	0.0218***	-0.0060	0.0155***	0.0168***	0.0168***	0.0234***	0.0258***
in utero	(0.0056)	(0.0063)	(0.0059)	(0.0063)	(0.0061)	(0.0070)	(0.0097)
N	242,079	237,520	213,823	194,641	179,792	118,123	56,271

$^{***}p < 0.01,^{**} p < 0.05,^{*} p < 0.1$

Notes: Unless otherwise specified, all estimates are based on municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents, are obtained for age specific subsamples (30 to 60), include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table 13: Robustness checks: Effect of a mass layoff on (log) earnings in the following year

	age 45	age 50	age 55	age 60
(*A*) *Baseline model [-9,9] window*				
Nazi raid	-0.0244***	-0.0247***	-0.0233*	-0.0519***
in utero (β_1^a)	(0.0079)	(0.0083)	(0.0123)	(0.0197)
Layoff (β_2^a)	-0.3359***	-0.3234***	-0.3350***	-0.3157***
	(0.0139)	(0.0120)	(0.0163)	(0.0234)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0781*	-0.0996**	-0.1436**	-0.0445
in utero (β_3^a)	(0.0474)	(0.0490)	(0.0625)	(0.0709)
N	155,587	145,885	85,302	39,325
(*B*) *Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and the Common trend assumption [-24,9] window*				
Nazi raid	-0.0244***	-0.0285***	-0.0161	-0.0373*
in utero	(0.0073)	(0.0082)	(0.0130)	(0.0221)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0667	-0.1411***	-0.1735***	-0.1187*
in utero	(0.0469)	(0.0488)	(0.0602)	(0.0673)
Nazi raid	0.0007	0.0008	0.0066	0.0204
1st year	(0.0062)	(0.0069)	(0.0115)	(0.0194)
Layoff × Nazi raid	0.0256	-0.0305	-0.0302	-0.0830
1st year	(0.0344)	(0.0348)	(0.0440)	(0.0702)
Nazi raid	0.0054	-0.0035	0.0020	0.0076
2nd year	(0.0063)	(0.0068)	(0.0114)	(0.0194)
Layoff × Nazi raid	0.0242	0.0380	0.0110	0.0284
2nd year	(0.0348)	(0.0296)	(0.0522)	(0.0552)
N	292,821	275,090	165,434	73,987
(*C*) *Conditional random assignment assumption/a different identification strategy [-9,9] window*				
Nazi raid	-0.0239***	-0.0187*	-0.0110	-0.0197
in utero (β_1^a)	(0.0092)	(0.0096)	(0.0164)	(0.0277)
Layoff (β_2^a)	-0.2196***	-0.2856***	-0.2949***	-0.3135***
	(0.0221)	(0.0207)	(0.0308)	(0.0485)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.1946***	-0.1367***	-0.1815***	-0.0432
in utero (β_3^a)	(0.0497)	(0.0505)	(0.0674)	(0.0823)
N	69,346	65,211	36,922	15,932
(*D*) *Baseline model - spatial spillovers - 5km radius [-9,9] window*				
Nazi raid	0.0069	0.0045	-0.0084	0.0437
in utero (β_1^a)	(0.0155)	(0.0163)	(0.0320)	(0.0487)
Layoff (β_2^a)	-0.3351***	-0.3217***	-0.3355***	-0.3159***
	(0.0141)	(0.0121)	(0.0166)	(0.0239)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0452	0.0075	0.0731	0.0244
in utero (β_3^a)	(0.1293)	(0.1155)	(0.1200)	(0.3137)
N	143,800	135,032	78,326	35,658
(*E*) *Flexible province trends [-9,9] window*				
Nazi raid	-0.0240***	-0.0241***	-0.0131	-0.0566***
in utero (β_1^a)	(0.0085)	(0.0087)	(0.0133)	(0.0215)
Layoff (β_2^a)	-0.3352***	-0.3226***	-0.3319***	-0.3173***
	(0.0140)	(0.0120)	(0.0165)	(0.0236)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0794*	-0.0993**	-0.1469**	-0.0503
in utero (β_3^a)	(0.0474)	(0.0492)	(0.0623)	(0.0721)
N	155,587	145,885	85,302	39,325
(*F*) *Changes in the length of gestation [-9,8] window*				
Nazi raid	-0.0261***	-0.0271***	-0.0264**	-0.0503**
in utero	(0.0084)	(0.0087)	(0.0126)	(0.0204)
Layoff	-0.3359***	-0.3238***	-0.3385***	-0.2980***

	(0.0141)	(0.0122)	(0.0167)	(0.0238)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.1011*	-0.0971*	-0.1490**	-0.0547
in utero	(0.0529)	(0.0531)	(0.0679)	(0.0841)
N	147,806	138,618	80,851	37,243
(*G*) *Changes in the length of gestation [-9,7] window*				
Nazi raid	-0.0234***	-0.0241**	-0.0320**	-0.0653***
in utero	(0.0091)	(0.0095)	(0.0140)	(0.0220)
Layoff	-0.3398***	-0.3196***	-0.3399***	-0.2978***
	(0.0144)	(0.0124)	(0.0171)	(0.0244)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0995*	-0.1109*	-0.1286*	-0.0551
in utero	(0.0581)	(0.0577)	(0.0731)	(0.0874)
N	139,311	130,812	76,002	34,939
(*H*) *Using only information from the first 6 months [-9,6] window*				
Nazi raid	-0.0221***	-0.0240***	-0.0293*	-0.0522***
in utero	(0.0088)	(0.0094)	(0.0195)	(0.0201)
Layoff	-0.3371***	-0.3121***	-0.3387***	-0.2928***
	(0.0131)	(0.0119)	(0.0130)	(0.0236)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0976*	-0.1002*	-0.1301*	-0.0493
in utero	(0.0589)	(0.0626)	(0.0765)	(0.0813)
N	130,720	122,832	73,204	31,386
(*I*) *Changing the municipality size cut-off - municipalities under 500k residents [-9,9] window*				
Nazi raid	-0.0244***	-0.0295***	-0.0199	-0.0688***
in utero	(0.0085)	(0.0088)	(0.0130)	(0.0206)
Layoff	-0.3351***	-0.3251***	-0.3333***	-0.3269***
	(0.0138)	(0.0117)	(0.0160)	(0.0240)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.1041**	-0.1195**	-0.1829***	-0.0780
in utero	(0.0496)	(0.0509)	(0.0605)	(0.0709)
N	163,426	153,060	89,791	41,543
(*J*) *Changing the municipality size cut-off - municipalities no cap on size [-9,9] window*				
Nazi raid	-0.0152*	-0.0236***	-0.0115	-0.0589***
in utero	(0.0082)	(0.0081)	(0.0121)	(0.0191)
Layoff	-0.3369***	-0.3220***	-0.3389***	-0.3149***
	(0.0134)	(0.0115)	(0.0160)	(0.0253)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0369	-0.0925**	-0.1538**	-0.0663
in utero	(0.0595)	(0.0418)	(0.0654)	(0.0553)
N	177,292	165,623	98,673	46,637

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: Unless otherwise specified, all estimates are based on municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents, are obtained for age specific subsamples (45 to 60), include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Luciano Bucci for introducing us to the war events and military strategies during WWII in Italy, and we acknowledge the important contribution of "The Atlas of Nazi and Fascist massacres" project, providing us with the rich and detailed dataset used for the analyses. We are thankful for very useful comments and suggestions from Agar Brugiavini; Andrew Clark; Janet Currie; Mariacristina De Nardi; Zichen Deng; Judite Goncalves; David Johnston; Andrew Jones; Fabrizio Mazzonna; Pedro Pito Barros; Carolina Santos; David Slusky; Paolo Sestito, Anke Witteveen and participants at the Dondena Workshop, Bocconi, December 2019; Workshop on applied health and risk economics, Venice, February 2020; The Children's Health, Well-Being, and Human Capital Formation workshop Barcelona, June 2020, Visit INPS yearly conference, July 2020, The NBER summer institute on Ageing July 2020 and seminars at Monash University, Melbourne, The Johannes Keppler University Linz, the Well-being series at LSE, London, The applied micro economics seminar at the Tinbergen Institute, Amsterdam, The Health seminar at Oxford University and the Economics Research Seminar at NOVA, Lisbon. The authors declare that they have no material, financial or other non-academic interests that relate to the research described in this paper.

Funding

The realization of this project was possible thanks to the sponsorships and donations of the "VisitInps Scholars" program. We thank the staff of Direzione Centrale Studi e Ricerche at INPS for their support with the data and the institutional setting. The findings and conclusions expressed are solely those of the authors and do not represent the views of INPS. This project also received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Grant Agreement No. 733206 LifeCycle). This manuscript reflects only the authors' view and the Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains. This project also received funding from the PRIN project "The Economics of Old Age Risks", financed by MIUR.

References

Adhvaryu, A., J. Fenske, and A. Nyshadham (2019). Early life circumstance and adult mental health. *Journal of Political Economy 127*(4), 1516–1549.

Aizer, A., L. Stroud, and S. Buka (2016). Maternal stress and child outcomes: Evidence from siblings. *Journal of Human Resources 51*(3), 523–555.

Akbulut-Yuksel, M. (2014). Long-run effects of large-scale physical destruction and warfare on children. *Journal of Human Resources 49*(3).

Akbulut-Yuksel, M. (2017). War during childhood: The long run effects of warfare on health. *Journal of Health Economics 53*, 117 – 138.

Akbulut-Yuksel, M., E. Tekil, and B. Turan (2022). World war ii blues: The long lasting mental health effect of childhood trauma. NBER Working Papers 30284, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

Akresh, R., S. Bhalotra, M. Leone, and U. O. Osili (2012a). War and stature: Growing up during the nigerian civil war. *American Economic Review 102*(3), 273–77.

Akresh, R., S. Bhalotra, M. Leone, and U. O. Osili (2012b). War and stature: Growing up during the nigerian civil war. *American Economic Review 3*(102), 273–77.

Almond, D. and J. Currie (2012). Killing me softly: The fetal origins hypothesis. *Journal of Economic Perspectives 25*(3), 153–72.

Almond, D., J. Currie, and V. Duque (2018). Childhood circumstances and adult outcomes: Act ii. *Journal of Economic Literature 56*(4), 1360–1446.

Almond, D. and B. Mazumder (2013). Fetal origins and parental responses. *Annual Review of Economics 5*(1), 37–56.

Baldoli, C, A. and R. Knapp (2012). *Forgotten Blitzes: France and Italy under Allied Air Attack, 1940-1945*. Bloomsbury Academic.

Baldoli, C, A., R. Knapp, and R. Overy (2011). *Bombing, States and Peoples: in western Europe 1940-1945*. London Continuum.

Becker, G. S. and Y. Rubinstein (2011). Fear and the Response to Terrorism: An Economic Analysis. Cep working papers, Centre for Economic Performance, LSE.

Benjet, C., E. Bromet, E. Karam, R. Kessler, K. McLaughlin, A. Ruscio, V. Shahly, D. Stein, M. Petukhova, H. E, and et al. (2016). The epidemiology of traumatic event exposure worldwide: Results from the world mental health survey consortium. *Psychol. Med. 46*, 327–343.

Black, S., P. Devereux, and K. G. Salvanes (2016). Does grief transfer across generations? bereavements during pregnancy and child outcomes. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics 8*(1), 193–223.

Boersma, G. J. and K. L. Tamashiro (2014). Individual differences in the effects of prenatal stress exposure in rodents. *Neurobiology of stress 1*, 100–108.

Browning, M. and E. Heinesen (2012). Effect of job loss due to plant closure on mortality and hospitalization. *Journal of Health Economics 31*(4), 599 – 616.

Bundervoet, T. and S. Fransen (2018). The educational impact of shocks in utero: Evidence from rwanda. *Economics and Human Biology 29*, 88–101.

Camacho, A. (2008). Stress and birth weight: Evidence from terrorist attacks. *American Economic Review 98*(2), 511–15.

Chan, S. and A. Stevens (2001). Job loss and employment patterns of older workers. *Journal of Labor Economics 19*(2), 484–521.

Checkley, S. (1996). The neuroendocrinology of depression and chronic stress. *British Medical Bulletin 52*(3), 597–617.

Christie, H., C. Hamilton-Giachritsis, F. A.-C. andMark Tomlinson, and S. L. Halligana (2019). The impact of parental posttraumatic stress disorders on parenting: a systematic review. *Eur J Psychotraumatol. 10(1)*, 1550345.

Cotter, D. and C. M. Pariante (2002). Stress and the progression of the developmental hypothesis of schizophrenia. *British Journal of Psychiatry 181*(5), 363âĂŞ365.

Couch, K. A. and D. W. Placzek (2010). Earnings losses of displaced workers revisited. *The American Economic Review 100*(1), 572–589.

Cunha, F. and J. Heckman (2007). The technology of skill formation. *American Economic Review 97*(2), 31–47.

Daniele, V. and R. Ghezzi (2017). The impact of world war ii on nutrition and children's health in italy. *Investigaciones de Historia EconÃşmica 15*, 119–131.

de Kloet, E. R., M. Joëls, and F. Holsboer (2005). Stress and the brain: from adaptation to disease. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience 6*(6), 463–475.

de Vries, G.-J. and M. Olff (2009). The lifetime prevalence of traumatic events and posttraumatic stress disorder in the netherlands. *Journal of Traumatic Stress: Official Publication of The International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies 22*(4), 259–267.

Gagliarducci, S., M. G. Onorato, F. Sobbrio, and G. Tabellini (2020, October). War of the waves: Radio and resistance during world war ii. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics 12*(4), 1–38.

Gitau, R., N. M. Fisk, and V. Glover (2001). Maternal stress in pregnancy and its effect on the human foetus: An overview of research findings. *Stress 4*(3), 195–203.

Hansen, D., H. C. Lou, and J. Olsen (2000). Serious life events and congenital malformations: a national study with complete follow-up. *The Lancet 356*(9233), 875 – 880.

Heckman, J., R. Pinto, and P. Savelyev (2013). Understanding the mechanisms through which an influential early childhood program boosted adult outcomes. *American Economic Review 103*(6), 2052–86.

Heffelfinger, A. K. and J. W. Newcomer (2001). Glucocorticoid effects on memory function over the human life span. *Development and Psychopathology 13*(3), 491–513.

Huber, M. (2014). Identifying causal mechanisms (primarily) based on inverse probability weighting. *Journal of Applied Econometrics 29*(6), 920–943.

ISTAT (1957). Morti e dispersi per cause belliche negli anni 1940-45.

Jacobson, L. S., R. J. LaLonde, and D. G. Sullivan (1993). Earnings losses of displaced workers. *The American Economic Review 83*(4), 685–709.

Kaila, M., E. Nix, and K. Riukula (2022). Disparate impacts of job loss by parental income and implications for intergenerational mobility.

Kessler, R. and P. Wang (2008). The descriptive epidemiology of commonly occurring mental disorders in the united states. *Annu. Rev. Public Health 29*, 115–129.

Kesternich, I., I. Siflinger, J. P. Smith, and J. K. Winter (2014). The effects of world war ii on economic and health outcomes across europe. *Review of Economics and Statistics 96*(1).

Kuzawa, C. W. and E. A. Quinn (2009). Developmental origins of adult function and health: Evolutionary hypotheses. *Annual Review of Anthropology 38*(1), 131–147.

Lederman, S. A., V. Rauh, L. Weiss, J. L. Stein, L. A. Hoepner, M. Becker, and F. P. Perera (2004). The effects of the world trade center event on birth outcomes among term deliveries at three lower manhattan hospitals. *Environmental health perspectives 112*(17), 1772–1778.

Leeners, B., P. Neumaier-Wagner, S. Kuse, R. Stiller, and W. Rath (2007). Emotional stress and the risk to develop hypertensive diseases in pregnancy. *Hypertension in Pregnancy 26*(2), 211–226.

Lilliecreutz, C., J. Larén, G. Sydsjö, and A. Josefsson (2016). Effect of maternal stress during pregnancy on the risk for preterm birth. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 16*(1), 5.

Lumey, L., A. D. Stein, and E. Susser (2011). Prenatal famine and adult health. *Annual Review of Public Health 32*(1), 237–262. PMID: 21219171.

Majzoub, J. A. and K. P. Karalis (1999). Placental corticotropin-releasing hormone: Function and regulation. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology 180*(1), S242–S246.

Malamud, O., C. Pop-Eleches, and M. Urquiola (2016). Interactions Between Family and School Environments: Evidence on Dynamic Complementarities? NBER Working Papers 22112, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

Marmot, M., S. Stansfeld, C. Patel, F. North, J. Head, I. White, E. Brunner, A. Feeney, M. Marmot, and G. Davey Smith (1991). Health inequalities among british civil servants: the whitehall ii study. *The Lancet 337*(8754), 1387 – 1393. Originally published as Volume 1, Issue 8754.

Matthews, S. G. (2000). Antenatal glucocorticoids and programming of the developing cns. *Pediatric Research 47*(3), 291–300.

Mulder, E., P. Robles de Medina, A. Huizink, B. Van den Bergh, J. Buitelaar, and G. Visser (2002). Prenatal maternal stress: effects on pregnancy and the (unborn) child. *Early Human Development 70*(1), 3 – 14.

Ó Gráda, C. (2019). The famines of WWII. *Vox.eu*.

O'Reilly, C. T. (2001). *Forgotten Battles: Italy's War of Liberation, 1943-1945*. google books. Lexinton Books.

Persson, P. and M. Rossin-Slater (2018). Family ruptures, stress, and the mental health of the next generation. *American Economic Review 108*(4-5), 1214–52.

Portelli, A. (2003). *The order has been carried out: History, memory, and meaning of a Nazi massacre in Rome*. Springer.

Quintana-Domeque, C. and P. Rodenas-Serrano (2017). The hidden costs of terrorism: The effects on health at birth. *Journal of Health Economics 56*, 47–60.

Ruhm, C. J. (1991). Are workers permanently scarred by job displacements? *The American Economic Review 81*(1), 319–324.

Selten, J.-P., Y. van der Graaf, R. van Duursen, C. C. G. de Wied, and R. S. Kahn (1999). Psychotic illness after prenatal exposure to the 1953 dutch flood disaster. *Schizophrenia Research 35*(3), 243–245.

Strazza, M. (2010). *Senza via di scampo: gli stupri nelle guerre mondiali*. Archivio della memoria. Consiglio regionale della Basilicata.

Sullivan, D. and T. von Wachter (2009). Job Displacement and Mortality: An Analysis Using Administrative Data*. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics 124*(3), 1265–1306.

Van den Berg, G. J. and M. Lindeboom (2018). Famines, hunger, and later-life health. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Economics and Finance*. Oxford University Press.

Van den Bergh, B. R., E. J. Mulder, M. Mennes, and V. Glover (2005). Antenatal maternal anxiety and stress and the neurobehavioural development of the fetus and child: links and possible mechanisms. a review. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews 29*(2), 237 – 258. Prenatal Programming of Behavior, Physiology and Cognition.

Vecchi, G. (2017). *Measuring Wellbeing: A History of Italian Living Standards*. Number 9780199944590 in OUP Catalogue. Oxford University Press.

Weinstock, M. (2001). Alterations induced by gestational stress in brain morphology and behaviour of the offspring. *Progress in Neurobiology 65*(5), 427–451.

Weinstock, M. (2005). The potential influence of maternal stress hormones on development and mental health of the offspring. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity 19*(4), 296 – 308.

Yehuda, R., N. P. Daskalakis, L. M. Bierer, H. N. Bader, T. Klengel, F. Holsboer, and E. B. Binder (2016). Holocaust exposure induced intergenerational effects on fkbp5 methylation. *Biological Psychiatry 80*, 372–380.

Yi, J., J. J. Heckman, J. Zhang, and G. Conti (2015). Early health shocks, intra-household resource allocation and child outcomes. *The Economic Journal 125*(588), F347–F371.

Zangrandi, R. (1974). *L'Italia tradita 8 settembre 1943*. Milano: Garzanti.

Zhang, W., Q. Li, M. Deyssenroth, L. Lambertini, J. Finik, J. Ham, Y. Huang, K. J. Tsuchiya, P. Pehme, J. Buthmann, S. Yoshida, J. Chen, and Y. Nomura (2018). Timing of prenatal exposure to trauma and altered placental expressions of hpa-axis genes and genes driving neurodevelopment. *J Neuroendocrinol. 30*(4), e12581.

A Appendix: Examining the random assignment of Nazi raids

In the main model the parameter of interest (β_1) is identified by comparing individuals exposed in utero to a Nazi raid to those who were exposed in the first year of life and those born in municipalities that were not subject to any Nazi raid. This requires an assumption of (conditional on municipality fixed effects) random assignment of the Nazi raids across municipalities. This appendix aims to support evidence in favor of this assumption. Before we proceed it is good to note that we also estimated models that are subject to the weaker assumption that the exact timing of the Nazi raid is not predictable, i.e. analyses based omn treated municipalities only (see section 4 for a discussion about this).

In the spring of 1943 the battlefront was in the north Africa and progressed in the first month following September 8th, 1943 via Sicily to Caserta (October 1943) and Monte Cassino (December 1943) (see section 2, Figure 3). From thereon the Allied forces made little progress up to June 1943 when they broke through the defense lines of the German forces near Rome. This is also reflected in Figure A1 that depicts the monthly distribution of the Nazi raids over the country for the period September - May 1944. Figure A2 aggregates these raids over all months. Figure A1 shows that the raids were not isolated to areas in the vicinity of the battlefront, but rather covered all of Italy, north of the battlefront.

In 1 of section 4 we control for time invariant differences between treated and control municipalities. This, however, still leaves some room for structural differences between municipalities that vary over time. In order to address this we estimated a model that relates whether a municipality experienced a Nazi raid in the period September 1943– May 1944 to a range of municipality characteristics obtained from the 2011 census[39] and province × year × month fixed effects. The characteristics include the (logarithm of) population size, population density, socio-demographic characteristics and geographical information.

The results of this regression are reported in the first column of Table A1. The table shows that apart from population size there are no associations with other characteristics. We next examine whether the impact of the regressors change over time. To this end we also estimated the model for

[39]There is no information for the WWII years

each month over the period September 1943 – May 1944 and tested for time invariant effects of the regressors using an F-test. [40]. For each covariate we report the p-values of the F-test in the last column of Table A1. The p-values of the F-test indicate that the null of time invariant structural differences between treated and control municipalities can not be rejected for any of the explanatory variables.

Figure A1: Evolution of Nazi raids (Sept 1943 - May 1944)



[40]More specifically, we do a full interaction of all the characteristics with month dummies

Figure A2: Placement of Nazi raids

Notes: The dark spots on the map indicate municipalities with a registered Nazi raid in the period September 1943 and May 1944.

Table A1: The assignment of Nazi raids to municipalities

	Nazi raid event (1943.09-1944.05)	
	Coefficient	Joint sign. (p-val)
Log population size	0.026***	0.1434
	(0.004)	
Population density	0.006	0.2930
	(0.008)	
Mortality 65+	0.001	0.2394
	(0.001)	
Low education	-0.006	0.2801
	(0.003)	
Log per-capita income	-0.006	0.3928
	(0.015)	
Sea access municipality	-0.010	0.6498
	(0.008)	
Snowfall	-0.000	0.2823
	(0.000)	
Rainfall	-0.000	0.1558
	(0.003)	
Maximum temperature	0.001	0.4966
	(0.001)	
Minimum temperature	-0.005***	0.6234
	(0.002)	
N	8,091	
R^2	0.11	

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: $N = 8091$ refers to all Italian municipalities. The characteristics are obtained from the 2011 census. Nazi event is an indicator dummy for a municipality that had a Nazi raid in the period September 1943 – May 1944. The second column reports p-values of joint significance tests of the interaction terms of the municipality level characteristics and year × month fixed effects. All regressions control for province fixed effects.

B Appendix: Additional evidence

B.1 Additional descriptive statistics

Table B1: Summary statistics on Nazi raids episodes between Sept 1943–May 1945 in all Italian municipalities

	N. obs.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	p1	p50	p99
Italy								
Length in days	5878	1.36	3.11	1	89	1	1	10
Number of victims	5878	4.14	15.03	0	770	1	1	42
Proportion of women (%)	5878	8.07	23.59	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of children (%)	5878	1.38	9.41	0	100	0	0	50
Proportion of men (%)	5878	90.55	25.77	0	100	0	100	100
Proportion of resistance fighters (%)	5878	33.93	51.66	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of searches (%)	5878	29.52	45.62	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of retaliations (%)	5878	16.77	37.37	0	100	0	0	100
Northwest								
Length in days	1376	1.57	4.24	1	72	1	1	15
Number of victims	1376	3.89	6.45	1	97	1	2	33
Proportion of women (%)	1376	5.31	18.39	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of children (%)	1376	0.76	7.1	0	100	0	0	33.33
Proportion of men (%)	1376	93.93	19.92	0	100	0	100	100
Proportion of resistance fighters (%)	1376	53.92	69.3	0	100	0	66.67	100
Proportion of searches (%)	1376	44.48	49.71	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of retaliations (%)	1376	19.77	39.84	0	100	0	0	100
Northeast								
Length in days	2033	1.38	3.42	1	89	1	1	9
Number of victims	2033	4.51	19.01	0	770	1	2	46
Proportion of women (%)	2033	6.85	21.87	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of children (%)	2033	1.38	9.34	0	100	0	0	50
Proportion of men (%)	2033	91.77	24.39	0	100	0	100	100
Proportion of resistance fighters (%)	2033	47.91	47.34	0	100	0	40	100
Proportion of searches (%)	2033	31.68	46.53	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of retaliations (%)	2033	19.28	39.46	0	100	0	0	100
Center								
Length in days	1512	1.26	1.92	1	29	1	1	8
Number of victims	1512	4.68	17.94	1	391	1	1	58
Proportion of women (%)	1512	9.42	25.3	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of children (%)	1512	1.31	8.77	0	100	0	0	50
Proportion of men (%)	1512	89.27	27.14	0	100	0	100	100
Proportion of resistance fighters (%)	1512	16.14	35.58	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of searches (%)	1512	22.22	41.59	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of retaliations (%)	1512	12.3	32.86	0	100	0	0	100
South								
Length in days	957	1.22	1.7	1	28	1	1	8
Number of victims	957	2.84	7.03	1	125	1	1	27
Proportion of women (%)	957	12.5	29.49	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of children (%)	957	2.39	12.78	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of men (%)	957	85.12	32.13	0	100	0	100	100
Proportion of resistance fighters (%)	957	3.6	17.88	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of searches (%)	957	14.94	35.67	0	100	0	0	100
Proportion of retaliations (%)	957	14.21	34.93	0	100	0	0	100

Notes: The numbers refer to an overall sample of 5,878 Nazi raids between Sept 1943–May 1945.

Table B2: Descriptive statistics: Nazi raids between Sept 1943 - May 1944 in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents

Date	N. of raids	% of resistance victims	% of male victims
Sept 1943	246	1.9	86.7
Oct 1943	358	1.3	88.0
Nov 1943	132	5.9	84.1
Dec 1943	131	12.7	83.3
Jan 1944	97	15.5	89.7
Feb 1944	78	14.5	88.6
Mar 1944	170	35.4	94.1
Apr 1944	201	44.6	90.7
May 1944	190	36.1	91.1

Notes: The numbers refer to a sample of 1,603 Nazi raids between Sept 1943 – May 1944 in Italian municipalities with less than 200,000 residents.

B.2 Layoffs assignment

Table B3: Layoff event random assignment check.

	layoff at anytime	layoff at 45	layoff at 50	layoff at 55	layoff at 60
Nazi raid	-0.0013	0.0001	-0.0018	-0.0006	0.0017
in utero	(0.0027)	(0.0018)	(0.0024)	(0.0023)	(0.0026)
WWII casualties	0.0000	-0.0004	-0.0009	0.0001	0.0001
(z-score) in utero	(0.0013)	(0.0009)	(0.0011)	(0.0009)	(0.0011)
R^2	0.0426	0.0454	0.0513	0.0528	0.0666
N	283,975	187,135	170,830	158,232	101,124
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01,^{**} p < 0.05,^{*} p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944) in municipalities with fewer than 200,000 residents, and refer to individuals who had positive earnings in the period prior the layoff event. All regressions include year × month and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

B.3 Sensitivity to municipality size

Figure B1: Sensitivity to municipality size - the effect of a Nazi raid on age specific log earnings



Notes: Effects on earnings of Nazi exposure in utero among individuals born in the [-9,9] month window around the Armistice (coefficients and 95% confidence intervals). Subsamples include municipalities with progressively increasing resident population size (under 100,000, under 200,000, under 500,000, no cap).

Figure B2: Sensitivity to municipality size - the general WWII effects (WWII casualties) on age specific log earnings



Notes: Effects on earnings of WWII exposure in utero among individuals born in the [-9,9] month window around the Armistice (coefficients and 95% confidence intervals). Subsamples include municipalities with progressively increasing resident population size (under 100,000, under 200,000, under 500,000, no cap).

B.4 Falsification tests

Figure B3: Placebo assignment of Nazi raids - earnings at age 30



Notes: Pseudo-treatment vs. actual Nazi raids: the distribution of t-statistics resulting from 5,000 random assignments of treatment to individuals, as well as the t-statistics from the actual treatment (red dotted line).

Figure B4: Placebo assignment of Nazi raids - blue-collar status at age 30



Notes: Pseudo-treatment vs. actual Nazi raids: the distribution of t-statistics resulting from 5,000 random assignments of treatment to individuals, as well as the t-statistics from the actual treatment (red dotted line).

B.5 Selectivity checks

Table B4: Effect of WWII intensity and Nazi raids on mortality rate from pregnancy complications

	Mortality rate from pregnancy complications
No. Nazi massacres	-.00092
in utero	(-0.21)
WWII casualties	.0247***
(z-score) in utero	(2.74)
R^2	0.45
N	132

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The sample of 132 observations refers to 21 regions in 6 years (1941-1946). All regressions include year and region fixed effects as well as robust standard errors (t statistics in parentheses).

Table B5: Effect of Nazi raids on municipality level cohort size

	cohort size 30-year-olds	cohort size 40-year-olds	cohort size 60-year-olds	cohort size 70-year-olds
Nazi Raid	0.0053	0.0055	0.0027	-0.0041
	(0.0138)	(0.0138)	(0.0130)	(0.0119)
WWII casualties	0.0011**	0.0011	0.009*	0.0007
(z-score) in utero	(0.0005)	(0.0008)	(0.0006)	(0.0005)
R^2	0.2979	0.2980	0.2880	0.2707
N	135,150	135,150	135,150	135,150
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: Results from a regression of municipality monthly cohort sizes (as share in total municipality population), derived from the INPS data, concerning individuals born between Jan 1943 and May 1944. All regressions include the number of war casualties, year × month and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors are clustered at the municipality level.

Figure B5: Monthly cohort sizes observed at the age of 30



Notes: The figure shows an event study coefficient estimates from a regression of municipality monthly cohort sizes (z-scores), derived from the INPS data, concerning individuals born between Jan 1943 and May 1944. All regressions include year × month and municipality fixed effects. The confidence intervals correspond to a threshold of 95%.

C Appendix: Is it stress?

In-utero stress exposure is proxied by being in utero at the time of a Nazi raid in a municipality where the individual was born. We use information of an external data base on health expenditures to validate our interpretation of the effects of this proxy. The Health Search/CSD Patient Database is a nation-wide representative sample of Italian adults, containing electronic patient level clinical records (ECRs) on diagnosis and prescriptions collected by General Practitioners (GPs).[41] The dataset also includes the patient's date and municipality of birth. Following a GP visit patients obtain their prescriptions which include information on diagnosis and types of drugs classified using the ATC (Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical) drug classification codes. With this information we can track the specific medical condition for which the drugs is prescribed and next compute drug expenditures for a specific disease at the patient level.

From the database we select all individuals born in the nine month time window surrounding September 8, 1943 (see Section 3) and compute annual patient level disease specific expenditures. These disease specific expenditures are then regressed on an indicator for in-utero exposure to Nazi raid, controlling for age, WWII casualties at the province level, GP fixed effects, Municipality fixed effects and regional trends.

The results of this regression are displayed in table C1 (expenditures) and Table C2 (logarithms of expenditures). The tables shows that the Nazi raids have a sizeable and significant effect on health expenditure for diseases of the nervous system and mental disorders (column 1). The coefficients imply a 17 percentage change in expenditures. Table C3 presents the results for the extensive margin (whether or not an individual had a positive expenditure). The table shows that those who were exposed to prenatal stress are about 3.6 percentage points more likely to acquire any drug for treating diseases of the nervous system and mental disorders. These findings are in line with the medical literature that documents strong associations between stress exposure and various psycho-pathologies later in life such as memory problems, decreased learning function, depression

[41]The database involves the ECRs of patients of a group of 900 GPs, representative of the Italian population, covering 1.8 million patients between 2004-2018. As Italian residents receive primary care services for free and are assigned to GPs on the basis of geographical proximity, the data are free of selection issues in the choice of GPs. Moreover, any healthcare service utilisation in Italy is subject to prescription or referral by a GP.

and dementia (Checkley, 1996; Heffelfinger and Newcomer, 2001; Selten et al., 1999). There are no effects on expenditure related to cardiovascular diseases and diabetes (column 2), diseases that typically show up in famine studies (Van den Berg and Lindeboom, 2018). Important for our study, these findings give credit to our interpretation of the effect of the Nazi raids as being primarily a stress effect.

Table C1: Effect of prenatal exposure to Nazi raids on health expenditure types

	(1) Neuro/ Mental.	(2) Cardio/ Diabetic	(3) Respir. syst.	(4) Hormone syst.	(5) Neoplasms	(6) Skin	(7) Musculo/ skeletal
Nazi raid	14.68607**	-.8137636	.2053017	.0604989	-6.213564	-1.089221	-1.453942
in utero	7.027016	3.318328	.395272	.5167893	4.91778	.7845821	1.146788
WWII casualties	-2.4008	-.1176307	-.0043448	.8692845	-4.107407	-.2607241	-.8289242*
(z-score) in utero	2.271634	1.307562	.0833904	.6241549	3.653428	.2934628	.4665404
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
GP FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
AGE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
N	82,299	82,299	82,299	82,299	82,299	82,299	82,299

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$
Notes: The sample refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944) and corresponds to patients managed by 468 GPs between 2004 and 2010. The expenditure refers to annual outpatient drug expenditures expressed in euros. All regressions control for WWII intensity and include year × month, municipality, GP, age and wave fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors are clustered at the municipality level.

Table C2: Effect of prenatal exposure to Nazi raids on health expenditure types (in logs)

	(1) Neuro/ Mental.	(2) Cardio/ Diabetic	(3) Respir. syst.	(4) Hormone syst.	(5) Neoplasms	(6) Skin	(7) Musculo/ skeletal
Nazi violence	.1737803**	.0049712	.0075452	-.0068495	-.0140483	-.0265167	-.0982221
	.0812961	.0772294	.019095	.0325375	.0247252	.0286837	.068499
WWII casualties	-.0311454	-.0156929	.0004989	-.0160935	-.0195766*	-.0100156	-.0063346
(z-score) in utero	.0232688	.0257558	.0049053	.0108522	.0112903	.0092541	.0266152
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
GP FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
AGE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
N	82,299	82,299	82,299	82,299	82,299	82,299	82,299

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The sample refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944) and corresponds to patients managed by 468 GPs between 2004 and 2010. The expenditure refers to annual outpatient (log) drug expenditures expressed in euros. All regressions control for WWII intensity and include year × month, municipality, GP, age and wave fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors are clustered at the municipality level.

Table C3: Effect of prenatal exposure to Nazi raids on probability of consuming various drug types

	(1) Neuro/ Mental.	(2) Cardio/ Diabetic	(3) Respir. syst.	(4) Hormone syst.	(5) Neoplasms	(6) Skin	(7) Musculo/ skeletal
Nazi raid	.0363725*	.009888	.0025408	-.0028159	-.0011372	-.0059475	-.031536
in utero	.0206139	.0165968	.0058697	.010909	.0042813	.00794	.0207016
WWII casualties	-.0069781	-.0038541	.0002067	-.0047323	-.0033508*	-.0028436	.0004971
(z-score) in utero	.0062256	.0055323	.0015742	.0038085	.0018029	.0032363	.0079697
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
GP FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
AGE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
N	82,299	82,299	82,299	82,299	82,299	82,299	82,299

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The sample refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944) and corresponds to patients managed by 468 GPs between 2004 and 2010. The dependent variable is an indicator for positive annual outpatient drug expenditures. All regressions control for WWII intensity and include year × month, municipality, GP, age and wave fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors are clustered at the municipality level.

D ONLINE Appendix: Sensitivity checks: Full tables

D1 Exploiting time variation (using only treated municipalities)

Table D1: Age specific earnings, treated in utero versus treated after birth (only treated municipalities)

	Earnings at 30	Earnings at 35	Earnings at 40	Earnings at 45	Earnings at 50	Earnings at 55	Earnings at 60
Nazi raid	-0.0210**	-0.0214**	-0.0079	-0.0196**	-0.0189*	-0.0149	-0.0202
in utero	(0.0094)	(0.0089)	(0.0090)	(0.0092)	(0.0100)	(0.0156)	(0.0280)
WWII casualties	-0.0114*	-0.0171***	-0.0147***	-0.0126**	-0.0137**	-0.0165*	-0.0138
(z-score) in utero	(0.0059)	(0.0059)	(0.0053)	(0.0061)	(0.0069)	(0.0095)	(0.0132)
R^2	0.1268	0.1116	0.1066	0.1063	0.1042	0.1155	0.1518
N	93,778	91,319	82,300	75,373	70,457	43,375	18,945
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**} p < 0.05, ^{*} p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943 – May 1944) in the municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents that receive a Nazi raid, and refer to age specific outcomes between the ages of 30 and 60. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table D2: Age specific blue-collar status, treated in utero versus treated after birth (only treated municipalities)

	Blue-collar at 30	Blue-collar at 35	Blue-collar at 40	Blue-collar at 45	Blue-collar at 50	Blue-collar at 55	Blue-collar at 60
Nazi raid	0.0206***	0.0003	0.0173**	0.0200***	0.0193**	0.0303***	0.0474***
in utero	(0.0070)	(0.0077)	(0.0079)	(0.0075)	(0.0079)	(0.0097)	(0.0159)
WWII casualties	0.0048	0.0100***	0.0125***	0.0091*	0.0118**	0.0179***	0.0135
(z-score) in utero	(0.0039)	(0.0037)	(0.0046)	(0.0049)	(0.0050)	(0.0066)	(0.0083)
R^2	0.0798	0.0886	0.0946	0.0994	0.1020	0.1484	0.1825
N	93,778	91,319	82,300	75,373	70,457	43,375	18,945
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943 – May 1944) in the municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents that receive a Nazi raid, and refer to age specific outcomes between the ages of 30 and 60. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table D3: Effect of layoff on wages, treated in utero versus treated after birth (only treated municipalities)

	age 45	age 50	age 55	age 60
Nazi raid	-0.0239***	-0.0187*	-0.0110	-0.0197
in utero (β_1^a)	(0.0092)	(0.0096)	(0.0164)	(0.0277)
Layoff (β_2^a)	-0.2196***	-0.2856***	-0.2949***	-0.3135***
	(0.0221)	(0.0207)	(0.0308)	(0.0485)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.1946***	-0.1367***	-0.1815***	-0.0432
in utero (β_3^a)	(0.0497)	(0.0505)	(0.0674)	(0.0823)
WWII casualties	-0.0104**	-0.0099*	-0.0129	-0.0122
(z-score) in utero (β_4^a)	(0.0047)	(0.0052)	(0.0089)	(0.0117)
R^2	0.1133	0.1121	0.1386	0.1723
N	69,346	65,211	36,922	15,932
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943 – May 1944) in the municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents that receive a Nazi raid, and refer to age specific outcomes between the ages of 45 and 60. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

D2 Differential effects for exposure in utero, in the first year of life and in the second year of life

Table D4: Differential effects of exposure in utero, in the first year of life, and in the second year of life: (log) earnings

	Earnings at 30	Earnings at 35	Earnings at 40	Earnings at 45	Earnings at 50	Earnings at 55	Earnings at 60
				Panel A			
Baseline model [-9,9] window							
Nazi raid	-0.0218***	-0.0238***	-0.0155**	-0.0177**	-0.0268***	-0.0254**	-0.0551***
in utero	(0.0080)	(0.0075)	(0.0076)	(0.0077)	(0.0085)	(0.0120)	(0.0194)
Baseline model [-24,9] window							
Nazi raid	-0.0224***	-0.0278***	-0.0199***	-0.0235***	-0.0316***	-0.0219**	-0.0502***
in utero	(0.0073)	(0.0064)	(0.0061)	(0.0065)	(0.0075)	(0.0099)	(0.0161)
				Panel B			
First and Second year effects model [-24,9] window							
Nazi raid	-0.0177**	-0.0337***	-0.0137**	-0.0206***	-0.0342***	-0.0225*	-0.0372**
in utero	(0.0084)	(0.0076)	(0.0067)	(0.0069)	(0.0081)	(0.0121)	(0.0190)
Nazi raid	0.0131	-0.0057	0.0038	0.0027	-0.0003	0.0038	0.0205
1st year	(0.0092)	(0.0067)	(0.0058)	(0.0063)	(0.0072)	(0.0112)	(0.0188)
Nazi raid	0.0229	0.0011	0.0043	0.0037	-0.0015	0.0013	0.0042
2nd year	(0.0177)	(0.0068)	(0.0060)	(0.0064)	(0.0073)	(0.0111)	(0.0183)
WWII casualties	-0.0063**	-0.0048*	-0.0044*	-0.0037	-0.0029	-0.0065*	-0.0062
(z-score) in utero	(0.0026)	(0.0026)	(0.0023)	(0.0026)	(0.0028)	(0.0036)	(0.0049)
WWII casualties	-0.0040*	-0.0004	-0.0019	-0.0024	-0.0011	-0.0043	-0.0072
(z-score) 1st year	(0.0023)	(0.0022)	(0.0020)	(0.0023)	(0.0024)	(0.0033)	(0.0052)
WWII casualties	0.0016	-0.0028	-0.0019	-0.0025	-0.0053**	-0.0028	-0.0050
(z-score) 2nd year	(0.0024)	(0.0023)	(0.0021)	(0.0022)	(0.0024)	(0.0033)	(0.0053)
R^2	0.1391	0.1371	0.1240	0.1324	0.1152	0.1173	0.1357
N	376,895	386,610	354,811	319,900	299,326	191,942	89,267
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The top row of Panel A refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944), while the bottom row of Panel A and Panel B refer to individuals born in the window [-24,9] month around the Armistice (Sept 1941 – May 1944), the columns refer to separate outcomes. All regressions include year × month and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table D5: Differential effects of exposure in utero, in the first year of life, and in the second year of life: blue-collar status

	Blue-collar at 30	Blue-collar at 35	Blue-collar at 40	Blue-collar at 45	Blue-collar at 50	Blue-collar at 55	Blue-collar at 60
			Panel A				
Baseline model [-9,9] window							
Nazi raid	0.0222***	0.0028	0.0176***	0.0172***	0.0184***	0.0277***	0.0370***
in utero	(0.0055)	(0.0067)	(0.0063)	(0.0062)	(0.0065)	(0.0072)	(0.0109)
Baseline model [-24,9] window							
Nazi raid	0.0312***	0.0068	0.0217***	0.0218***	0.0234***	0.0272***	0.0360***
in utero	(0.0045)	(0.0054)	(0.0051)	(0.0051)	(0.0056)	(0.0061)	(0.0089)
			Panel B				
First and Second year effects model [-24,9] window							
Nazi raid	0.0291***	0.0053	0.0224***	0.0248***	0.0266***	0.0299***	0.0423***
in utero	(0.0052)	(0.0060)	(0.0061)	(0.0060)	(0.0064)	(0.0079)	(0.0116)
Nazi raid	-0.0013	-0.0001	0.0041	0.0075	0.0076	0.0076	0.0116
1st year	(0.0047)	(0.0048)	(0.0057)	(0.0055)	(0.0057)	(0.0071)	(0.0104)
Nazi raid	-0.0043	-0.0039	-0.0032	0.0002	0.0003	-0.0007	0.0047
2nd year	(0.0048)	(0.0042)	(0.0049)	(0.0053)	(0.0055)	(0.0069)	(0.0105)
WWII casualties	0.0018	0.0032*	0.0041**	0.0028	0.0018	0.0033	0.0003
(z-score) in utero	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	(0.0018)	(0.0019)	(0.0019)	(0.0024)	(0.0032)
WWII casualties	0.0018	0.0008	0.0032*	0.0035**	0.0017	0.0044**	0.0041
(z-score) 1st year	(0.0015)	(0.0016)	(0.0018)	(0.0018)	(0.0018)	(0.0021)	(0.0032)
WWII casualties	0.0041**	0.0041***	0.0024	0.0043**	0.0031*	0.0055**	0.0061*
(z-score) 2nd year	(0.0017)	(0.0015)	(0.0018)	(0.0018)	(0.0018)	(0.0023)	(0.0032)
R^2	0.0805	0.0818	0.0876	0.0936	0.0997	0.1408	0.1645
N	376,895	386,610	354,811	319,900	299,326	191,942	89,267
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01$, $^{**}p < 0.05$, $^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The top row of Panel A refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944), while the bottom row of Panel A and Panel B refer to individuals born in the window [-24,9] month around Armistice (Sept 1941 – May 1944), the columns refer to separate outcomes. All regressions include year × month and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table D6: Differential effects of exposure in utero, in the first year of life, and in the second year of life: Mass layoff effects on (log) earnings

	age 45	age 50	age 55	age 60
	Panel A			
Baseline model [-9,9] window				
Nazi raid	-0.0244***	-0.0247***	-0.0233*	-0.0519***
in utero	(0.0079)	(0.0083)	(0.0123)	(0.0197)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0781*	-0.0996**	-0.1436**	-0.0445
in utero	(0.0474)	(0.0490)	(0.0625)	(0.0709)
Baseline model [-24,9] window				
Nazi raid	-0.0267***	-0.0281***	-0.0195*	-0.0488***
in utero	(0.0066)	(0.0072)	(0.0102)	(0.0163)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0702	-0.1417***	-0.1722***	-0.1151*
in utero	(0.0464)	(0.0486)	(0.0603)	(0.0662)
	Panel B			
First and Second year effects model [-24,9] window				
Nazi raid	-0.0244***	-0.0285***	-0.0161	-0.0373*
in utero	(0.0073)	(0.0082)	(0.0130)	(0.0221)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0667	-0.1411***	-0.1735***	-0.1187*
in utero	(0.0469)	(0.0488)	(0.0602)	(0.0673)
Nazi raid	0.0007	0.0008	0.0066	0.0204
1st year	(0.0062)	(0.0069)	(0.0115)	(0.0194)
Layoff × Nazi raid	0.0256	-0.0305	-0.0302	-0.0830
1st year	(0.0344)	(0.0348)	(0.0440)	(0.0702)
Nazi raid	0.0054	-0.0035	0.0020	0.0076
2nd year	(0.0063)	(0.0068)	(0.0114)	(0.0194)
Layoff × Nazi raid	0.0242	0.0380	0.0110	0.0284
2nd year	(0.0348)	(0.0296)	(0.0522)	(0.0552)
R^2	0.1355	0.1207	0.1363	0.1582
N	292,821	275,090	165,434	73,987
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01$, $^{**}p < 0.05$, $^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The top row of Panel A refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943–May 1944), while the bottom row of Panel A and Panel B refer to individuals born in the window [-24,9] month around the Armistice (Sept 1941 – May 1944), the columns refer to separate outcomes. All regressions include year × month and municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

D3 Using flexible province × year × month fixed effects

Table D7: The effect of in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid: Age specific earnings - province × year × month fixed effects.

	Earnings at 30	Earnings at 35	Earnings at 40	Earnings at 45	Earnings at 50	Earnings at 55	Earnings at 60
Nazi raid	-0.0263***	-0.0224***	-0.0150*	-0.0187**	-0.0250***	-0.0199	-0.0672***
in utero	(0.0085)	(0.0078)	(0.0082)	(0.0084)	(0.0088)	(0.0130)	(0.0203)
R^2	0.1597	0.1513	0.1480	0.1528	0.1451	0.1580	0.2075
N	211,641	207,420	187,049	170,775	158,164	101,081	47,560
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Prov Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01,^{**}p < 0.05,^{*}p < 0.1$
Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943 – May 1944) in municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as province × year × month fixed effects. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table D8: The effect of in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid: Age specific blue-collar status - province × year × month fixed effects

	Blue-collar at 30	Blue-collar at 35	Blue-collar at 40	Blue-collar at 45	Blue-collar at 50	Blue-collar at 55	Blue-collar at 60
Nazi raid	0.0202***	0.0004	0.0135**	0.0132*	0.0117*	0.0195**	0.0399***
in utero	(0.0059)	(0.0070)	(0.0066)	(0.0068)	(0.0070)	(0.0079)	(0.0119)
R^2	0.0973	0.1051	0.1127	0.1203	0.1264	0.1817	0.2317
N	211,641	207,420	187,049	170,775	158,164	101,081	47,560
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Prov Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01,^{**}p < 0.05,^{*}p < 0.1$
Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943 – May 1944) in municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as province × year × month fixed effects. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table D9: The effect of in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid: Effect of mass layoff on earnings - province × year × month fixed effects

	age 45	age 50	age 55	age 60
Nazi raid	-0.0240***	-0.0241***	-0.0131	-0.0566***
in utero (β_1^a)	(0.0085)	(0.0087)	(0.0133)	(0.0215)
Layoff (β_2^a)	-0.3352***	-0.3226***	-0.3319***	-0.3173***
	(0.0140)	(0.0120)	(0.0165)	(0.0236)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0794*	-0.0993**	-0.1469**	-0.0503
in utero (β_3^a)	(0.0474)	(0.0492)	(0.0623)	(0.0721)
R^2	0.1581	0.1533	0.1857	0.2393
N	155,587	145,885	85,302	39,325
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Prov Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943 – May 1944) in municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as province × year × month fixed effects. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

D4 Using 6-month exposure window

Table D10: Age specific earnings - exposure limited to 6 months after Sept 1943

	Earnings at 30	Earnings at 35	Earnings at 40	Earnings at 45	Earnings at 50	Earnings at 55	Earnings at 60
Nazi raid	-0.0228**	-0.0264***	-0.0211**	-0.0221**	-0.0276**	-0.0391***	-0.0692***
in utero	(0.0102)	(0.0096)	(0.0097)	(0.0095)	(0.0107)	(0.0140)	(0.0234)
WWII casualties	-0.0091**	-0.0079*	-0.0055	-0.0061	-0.0103**	-0.0164**	-0.0061
(z-score) in utero	(0.0042)	(0.0041)	(0.0041)	(0.0045)	(0.0047)	(0.0064)	(0.0085)
R^2	0.1558	0.1487	0.1453	0.1501	0.1417	0.1529	0.1845
N	175,883	172,306	155,884	141,936	131,898	83,162	39,307
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**} p < 0.05, ^{*} p < 0.1$
Notes: The samples refers to individuals born 9 months prior to 6 months post Armistice (Jan 1943 – Feb 1944) in municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table D11: Age specific blue-collar status - exposure limited to 6 months after Sept 1943

	Blue-collar at 30	Blue-collar at 35	Blue-collar at 40	Blue-collar at 45	Blue-collar at 50	Blue-collar at 55	Blue-collar at 60
Nazi raid	0.0214***	0.0053	0.0189**	0.0141*	0.0155**	0.0229***	0.0308**
in utero	(0.0065)	(0.0075)	(0.0074)	(0.0073)	(0.0077)	(0.0087)	(0.0129)
WWII casualties	0.0055**	0.0058**	0.0088***	0.0069**	0.0072**	0.0102**	-0.0014
(z-score) in utero	(0.0027)	(0.0026)	(0.0030)	(0.0032)	(0.0034)	(0.0044)	(0.0052)
R^2	0.0959	0.0986	0.1094	0.1168	0.1226	0.1746	0.2097
N	175,883	172,306	155,884	141,936	131,898	83,162	39,307
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**} p < 0.05, ^{*} p < 0.1$
Notes: The samples refers to individuals born 9 months prior to 6 months post Armistice (Jan 1943 – Feb 1944) in municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table D12: The effect of in-utero exposure to a Nazi raid: Effect of mass layoff on earnings - exposure limited to 6 months after Sept 1943

	age 45	age 50	age 55	age 60
Nazi raid	-0.0221***	-0.0240***	-0.0293*	-0.0522***
in utero (β_1^a)	(0.0088)	(0.0094)	(0.0195)	(0.0201)
Layoff (β_2^a)	-0.3371***	-0.3121***	-0.3387***	-0.2928***
	(0.0131)	(0.0119)	(0.0130)	(0.0236)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0976*	-0.1002*	-0.1301*	-0.0493
in utero (β_3^a)	(0.0589)	(0.0626)	(0.0765)	(0.0813)
R^2	0.0431	0.0881	0.0935	0.1125
N	130,720	122,832	73,204	31,386
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born in the 9 month window around the Armistice (Jan 1943 – May 1944) in municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

D5 Shorter gestation

Table D13: Age specific earnings and shorter gestation period

	Earnings at 30	Earnings at 35	Earnings at 40	Earnings at 45	Earnings at 50	Earnings at 55	Earnings at 60
9 month gestation period [-9,9] window							
Nazi raid	-0.0218***	-0.0238***	-0.0155**	-0.0177**	-0.0268***	-0.0254**	-0.0551***
in utero	(0.0080)	(0.0075)	(0.0076)	(0.0077)	(0.0085)	(0.0120)	(0.0194)
WWII casualties	-0.0065**	-0.0073**	-0.0046	-0.0053	-0.0052	-0.0115**	-0.0118*
(z-score) in utero	(0.0032)	(0.0031)	(0.0030)	(0.0034)	(0.0036)	(0.0048)	(0.0064)
R^2	0.1514	0.1437	0.1391	0.1432	0.1348	0.1418	0.1712
N	211,641	207,420	187,049	170,775	158,164	101,081	47,560
8 month gestation period [-9,8] window							
Nazi raid	-0.0241***	-0.0277***	-0.0142*	-0.0198**	-0.0292***	-0.0249**	-0.0526***
in utero	(0.0085)	(0.0079)	(0.0081)	(0.0081)	(0.0092)	(0.0123)	(0.0200)
WWII casualties	-0.0050	-0.0055	-0.0034	-0.0039	-0.0049	-0.0124**	-0.0055
(z-score) in utero	(0.0035)	(0.0035)	(0.0034)	(0.0037)	(0.0040)	(0.0052)	(0.0072)
R^2	0.1530	0.1453	0.1411	0.1456	0.1371	0.1451	0.1742
N	201,078	197,052	177,859	162,270	150,403	95,783	45,091
7 month gestation period [-9,7] window							
Nazi raid	-0.0216**	-0.0269***	-0.0154*	-0.0185**	-0.0265***	-0.0313**	-0.0636***
in utero	(0.0093)	(0.0087)	(0.0088)	(0.0088)	(0.0099)	(0.0132)	(0.0220)
WWII casualties	-0.0065*	-0.0068*	-0.0033	-0.0054	-0.0067	-0.0128**	-0.0079
(z-score) in utero	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0037)	(0.0039)	(0.0043)	(0.0057)	(0.0080)
R^2	0.1545	0.1469	0.1430	0.1476	0.1393	0.1485	0.1788
N	189,423	185,605	167,716	152,960	141,929	89,947	42,377
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born 9 months prior and 9, 8 or 7 months post Armistice (Jan 1943 – May/April/March 1944) in municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table D14: Age specific blue-collar status and shorter gestation period

	Blue-collar at 30	Blue-collar at 35	Blue-collar at 40	Blue-collar at 45	Blue-collar at 50	Blue-collar at 55	Blue-collar at 60
9 month gestation period [-9,9] window							
Nazi raid	0.0222***	0.0028	0.0176***	0.0172***	0.0184***	0.0277***	0.0370***
in utero	(0.0055)	(0.0067)	(0.0063)	(0.0062)	(0.0065)	(0.0072)	(0.0109)
WWII casualties	0.0030	0.0039**	0.0062***	0.0048**	0.0050**	0.0064**	0.0027
(z-score) in utero	(0.0019)	(0.0019)	(0.0022)	(0.0023)	(0.0024)	(0.0030)	(0.0039)
R^2	0.0885	0.0965	0.1036	0.1107	0.1160	0.1658	0.1976
N	211,714	207,515	187,135	170,830	158,232	101,124	47,582
8 month gestation period [-9,8] window							
Nazi raid	0.0224***	0.0033	0.0167**	0.0148**	0.0172**	0.0272***	0.0342***
in utero	(0.0056)	(0.0067)	(0.0065)	(0.0063)	(0.0068)	(0.0076)	(0.0111)
WWII casualties	0.0035	0.0044**	0.0065***	0.0051*	0.0054**	0.0070**	0.0013
(z-score) in utero	(0.0022)	(0.0022)	(0.0025)	(0.0026)	(0.0028)	(0.0034)	(0.0044)
R^2	0.0906	0.0972	0.1058	0.1128	0.1179	0.1690	0.2016
N	201,078	197,052	177,859	162,270	150,403	95,783	45,091
7 month gestation period [-9,7] window							
Nazi raid	0.0241***	0.0028	0.0174**	0.0146**	0.0166**	0.0290***	0.0382***
in utero	(0.0061)	(0.0073)	(0.0070)	(0.0068)	(0.0072)	(0.0080)	(0.0115)
WWII casualties	0.0037	0.0046**	0.0076***	0.0062**	0.0059**	0.0074**	-0.0007
(z-score) in utero	(0.0023)	(0.0023)	(0.0026)	(0.0027)	(0.0030)	(0.0037)	(0.0046)
R^2	0.0931	0.0981	0.1076	0.1148	0.1205	0.1718	0.2059
N	189,423	185,605	167,716	152,960	141,929	89,947	42,377
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01, ^{**}p < 0.05, ^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born 9 months prior and 9, 8 or 7 months post Armistice (Jan 1943 – May/April/March 1944) in municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

Table D15: Effect of layoff on wages and shorter gestation period

	age 45	age 50	age 55	age 60
9 month gestation period [-9,9] window				
Nazi raid	-0.0244***	-0.0247***	-0.0233*	-0.0519***
in utero	(0.0079)	(0.0083)	(0.0123)	(0.0197)
Layoff	-0.3359***	-0.3234***	-0.3350***	-0.3157***
	(0.0139)	(0.0120)	(0.0163)	(0.0234)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0781*	-0.0996**	-0.1436**	-0.0445
in utero	(0.0474)	(0.0490)	(0.0625)	(0.0709)
WWII casualties	-0.0063*	-0.0063*	-0.0097*	-0.0058
(z-score) in utero	(0.0034)	(0.0033)	(0.0050)	(0.0070)
R^2	0.1500	0.1427	0.1682	0.2006
N	155,587	145,885	85,302	39,325
8 month gestation period [-9,8] window				
Nazi raid	-0.0261***	-0.0271***	-0.0264**	-0.0503**
in utero	(0.0084)	(0.0087)	(0.0126)	(0.0204)
Layoff	-0.3359***	-0.3238***	-0.3385***	-0.2980***
	(0.0141)	(0.0122)	(0.0167)	(0.0238)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.1011*	-0.0971*	-0.1490**	-0.0547
in utero	(0.0529)	(0.0531)	(0.0679)	(0.0841)
WWII casualties	-0.0036	-0.0046	-0.0084*	-0.0012
(z-score) in utero	(0.0030)	(0.0030)	(0.0044)	(0.0064)
R^2	0.1504	0.1445	0.1708	0.2005
N	147,806	138,618	80,851	37,243
7 month gestation period [-9,7] window				
Nazi raid	-0.0234***	-0.0241**	-0.0320**	-0.0653***
in utero	(0.0091)	(0.0095)	(0.0140)	(0.0220)
Layoff	-0.3398***	-0.3196***	-0.3399***	-0.2978***
	(0.0144)	(0.0124)	(0.0171)	(0.0244)
Layoff × Nazi raid	-0.0995*	-0.1109*	-0.1286*	-0.0551
in utero	(0.0581)	(0.0577)	(0.0731)	(0.0874)
WWII casualties	-0.0049	-0.0069**	-0.0099**	-0.0006
(z-score) in utero	(0.0031)	(0.0033)	(0.0048)	(0.0074)
R^2	0.1524	0.1465	0.1739	0.2041
N	139,311	130,812	76,002	34,939
Time FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Municipality FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reg trends	YES	YES	YES	YES

$^{***}p < 0.01$, $^{**}p < 0.05$, $^{*}p < 0.1$

Notes: The samples refers to individuals born 9 months prior and 9, 8 or 7 months post Armistice (Jan 1943 – May/April/March 1944) in municipalities with fewer then 200,000 residents. All regressions include year × month fixed effects, municipality fixed effects as well as region specific time trends. Robust standard errors (in parentheses) are clustered at the municipality level.

RECENT PUBLICATIONS BY *CEIS Tor Vergata*

Inter-municipal Cooperation in Public Procurement
Giampaolo Arachi, Debora Assisi, Berardino Cesi, Michele G. Giuranno and Felice Russo
CEIS Research Paper, 548, December 2022

Testing for Endogeneity of Irregular Sampling Schemes
Aleksey Kolokolov, Giulia Livieri and Davide Pirino
CEIS Research Paper, 547, December 2022

Aggregation Trees
Riccardo Di Francesco
CEIS Research Paper, 546, December 2022

Food Security during the COVID-19 Pandemic: the Impact of a Rural Development Program and Neighbourhood Spillover Effect in the Solomon Islands
Leonardo Becchetti, Sara Mancini and Sara Savastano
CEIS Research Paper, 545, December 2022

Attitude Towards Financial Planning of Italian Households
Marianna Brunetti, Rocco Ciciretti, Monica Gentile, Nadia Linciano and Paola Soccorso
CEIS Research Paper, 544, December 2022

Climate Policies, Macroprudential Regulation, and the Welfare Cost of Business Cycles
Barbara Annicchiarico, Marco Carli and Francesca Diluiso
CEIS Research Paper, 543, October 2022

The Social Value of Health: Amenable Deaths and Estimated the Gap with the Life Expectancy Frontier
Leonardo Becchetti, Gianluigi Conzo and Giovanni Trovato
CEIS Research Paper, 542, August 2022

Staying Strong, But For How Long? Mental Health During COVID-19 in Italy
Francesca Marazzi, Andrea Piano Mortari, Federico Belotti, Giuseppe Carrà, Ciro Cattuto, Joanna Kopinska, Daniela Paolotti and Vincenzo Atella
CEIS Research Paper, 541, April 2022

Sensitivity of Profitability in Cointegration-Based Pairs Trading
Marianna Brunetti and Roberta De Luca
CEIS Research Paper, 540, April 2022

Theory of Evolutionary Spectra for Heteroskedasticity and Autocorrelation Robust Inference in Possibly Misspecified and Nonstationary Models
Alessandro Casini
CEIS Research Paper, 539, April 2022

DISTRIBUTION

Our publications are available online at www.ceistorvergata.it

DISCLAIMER

The opinions expressed in these publications are the authors' alone and therefore do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the supporters, staff, or boards of CEIS Tor Vergata.

COPYRIGHT

MEDIA INQUIRIES AND INFORMATION

For media inquiries, please contact Barbara Piazzi at +39 06 72595652/01 or by e-mail at piazzi@ceis.uniroma2.it. Our web site, www.ceistorvergata.it, contains more information about Center's events, publications, and staff.

DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT

For information about contributing to CEIS Tor Vergata, please contact at +39 06 72595601 or by e-mail at segr.ceis@economia.uniroma2.it